#### System Architecting: Defining Optical and Mechanical Tolerances from an Error Budget

Julia Zugby OPTI-521, Fall 2016

# **Objectives**

- Deciphering customer requests to requirements
- Insight to requirements flow down
- Insight to Top Level system development
- Understand origin of Optical and Mechanical Tolerance allocations
- Understand importance of engineers role in meeting their allocations

# What is System Architecting?

System Architecting is the practice of developing a "conceptual model to define the structure, behavior and other critical elements of a system. An Architecture description is a formal description and representation of system, organized in a way that supports reasoning about the structures and behaviors of the system". ~Wikipedia



#### **Understanding Project Development**



Image courtesy of "Big 'A' Systems Architecture From Strategy to Design: Systems Architecting in DoD"

# **Case Study: View Jupiter's Great Red Spot**

REQUEST:

A customer requests a space based telescope to look at Jupiter's Great Red Spot. The telescope must:

- Continuously resolve the spot
- Image in visible wavelengths (400-900 nm)
- Ready to launch in 12 months
- Cost \$10,000.00



Image Courtesy of NASA

## **Assess Customer Request**

- 12 month lead time is tight but achievable
- Technology is available to build telescope to meet technical requirements
- \$10,000.00 budget is unrealistic

For purpose of this case study, team is given green light to allocate requirements and build. <u>HIGHLY ITERATIVE</u>!



## **Define Performance Metrics**

Team is waiting on directives. Requirements flowdown is typically in terms of performance metrics to the lead engineers. Some or even all metrics can include:

- RMS WFE: Root Mean Square Wavefront Error
- MTF: Modulation Transfer Equation
- Distortion
- Fractional Encircled Energy
- Beam Divergence
- Geometric RMS Image size
- Dimensional Limits
- Boresight
- Throughput



#### **Error Budget Development**

You decide the appropriate metric is RMS WFE. Resolving typically means a strehl ratio > 0.8.

$$SR \approx e^{-(2\pi W_{RMS}/\lambda)^2} \approx 1 - (2\pi W_{RMS}/\lambda)^2$$

So we convert strehl to RMS WFE, using 500 nm for evaluation wavelength:

$$W_{RMS} = \frac{\lambda \sqrt{1-SR}}{2\pi} = 35 \ nm$$

Top level performance = 35 nm

# **System Error Budget**

| Level                    | 1          | 2   | 3   | 4   | Description  |
|--------------------------|------------|-----|-----|-----|--|
| Total Performance        | 27         |     |     |     | Requirement = 35 nm  |
| Nominal Design Residual  |            | 15  | i i |     | Design residual inherent to design, assume on-axis           |
| Static Image Quality     |            | 21  |     |     | Image quality under static conditions                        |
| 1g offload               |            |     | 4   | , j | Result of aligning on Earth and releasing in space           |
| dryout                   |            |     | 4   |     | Result of materials shrinking as they outgas & lose moisture |
| Static Thermal offset    |            |     | 7   | Î   | Result of aligning in lab at 22C and having +5 degrees       |
| Manufacturing            | e e<br>    |     | 18  |     | Ability/Allocation for how well the optics must be made      |
| Primary                  |            |     |     | 10  | How well the Primary Mirror is made and mounted              |
| Secondary                |            |     |     | 15  | How well the Secondary Mirror is made and mounted            |
| Alignment                |            | i i | 4   |     | How well the System can be aligned                           |
| Primary to Secondary     |            |     |     | 4   | How well the Primary and secondary can be initially aligned  |
| Telescope to sensor      | 0 0<br>2 3 |     | Ĵ.  | 2   | How well the Telescope can be aligned to the sensor          |
| Non-Static Image Quality |            | 10  |     |     | Changes faster than your thermal control                     |
| Thermal Gradients        |            |     | 10  | Î   | Gradients across optics and system that impact performance   |

All terms are initially allocated and RSS'd to the next higher level. **The process** of allocating is highly iterative as design maturity increases.

#### **Optical and Mechanical Tolerances**

Team has allocation of:

- 10 nm for mounted primary
- 15 nm for mounted secondary

Your team goes through the same process of allocating terms which will RSS to the 10 and 15 nm.

Team builds opto-mechanical design and evaluates using Finite Element Analysis (FEM). The FEM shows the design is influencing up to 7 nm of WFE. You decide this is sufficient and begin evaluating the other terms in the System Error Budget.

#### **Summary**

- Deciphering customer requests to requirements
  - Build a telescope to view Jupiter's Red Sport
- Insight to requirements flow down
  - Translate customer request to RMS WFE
- Insight to Top Level system development
  - Allocation of 35 nm and flowed down to system influences
- Understand importance of engineers role in meeting their allocations