

4. Line of Sight - Optical Systems

- 1. Combining multiple contributions to system LOS**
- 2. Example problem**
- 3. General relationship between element motion and system LOS**

Combining multiple sources of error

There are usually many things that can go wrong that will affect system performance. To calculate the combined effect:

If the causes are independent:

Combine the effects as a root-sum-square

For example:

10 μ rad pointing from element 1

15 μ rad pointing from element 2

5 μ rad pointing from element 3

Combined effect:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{10^2 + 15^2 + 5^2} \\ &= \sqrt{100 + 225 + 25} \\ &= \sqrt{350} \\ &= 18.7 \end{aligned}$$

Some interesting things about RSS combination:

1. The answer is dominated by the biggest contributors
2. The smallest contributors are negligible
3. For N equal contributions, the RSS is equal to \sqrt{N} times an individual contribution.

RSS is dominated by the largest contributors

Example:

Compute RSS of 10, 1, 2, 1, 1

$$= \text{sqrt}(100+1+4+1+1)$$

$$= 10.3 \quad (\text{not much different from } 10)$$

Small contributors do not affect RSS

Compute RSS of 10, 11, 10

$$= \text{sqrt}(100+121+100)$$

$$= 17.9$$

Now add another term of 2

$$\text{rss} = \text{sqrt}(100+121+100 + 4)$$

$$= 18.0$$

Not much different from 17.9

For terms with equal contribution:

Compute RSS for N equal contributions of x :

$$\begin{aligned}RSS &= \sqrt{x^2 + x^2 + x^2 + x^2 + \dots (N \text{ times})} \\ &= \sqrt{N(x^2)} \\ &= \sqrt{N} \cdot x\end{aligned}$$

Budgeting complex systems

If you have many degrees of freedom (independent things changing) the optimal distribution may be equal contributions from each thing:

- If a few terms dominate, you can improve system performance by reducing just them
- Any terms that are small compared to the rest could be increased (relax a requirement) to make the system cost less but will not change performance.

However:

- Sometimes performance is already good enough, so the cost of improving the dominating single degree of freedom is not justified.
- Sometimes the cost will not be reduced by relaxing specifications – *e.g.* using COTS (commercial off the shelf) parts.

More about this later....

Combining errors when the effects are coupled

For example: Thermal distortion

If the temperature changes then all elements move together.
You cannot estimate the combined effect as a root sum square.

In this case you must treat the single cause of the motion as a degree of freedom. (*e.g.* temperature change)

Then you must find the combined effect for the whole system when the temperature changes.

You can do this by calculating each contribution and summing them up *keeping the sign*.

For example, consider two lenses. The effects of the individual motions could act in a direction to cancel each other or to add.

Clearly a different result.


Example Problem : Image stability

Consider a simple two-channel fiber coupler shown below.

The incident beams are 3 mm in diameter, and come to focus on the end of the fiber with 0.1 NA.

The back focal distance, as shown from the focusing lens (which is a multi-element lens) to the fiber is 8 mm.


Coupling efficiency requires the position and rotation of the optics to be maintained so that both focused spots (one from A and the other from B) are maintained on the fiber to $\pm 0.3 \mu\text{m}$



A) Determine the focal length of the lens and find its nodal point.

Calculate the following sources of error, consider the effects for both inputs

- B) Lateral translation of beamsplitter cube $20 \mu\text{m}$
- C) Rotation of the beamsplitter cube about point A of $3 \mu\text{rad}$
- D) Lateral translation of the focusing lens of $0.1 \mu\text{m}$
- E) Rotation of focusing lens about point B of $20 \mu\text{rad}$ (decompose motion into rotation about nodal point + translation of nodal point.)
- F) Lateral translation of the fiber of $0.1 \mu\text{m}$
- G) Calculate the combined effect of all of the above. How does this compare to the requirement?



A) Determine the focal length of the lens and find its nodal point.

NA = 0.1 so it is $f/5$ ($NA = 1/(2F_n)$)

3 mm given as beam diameter

Focal length $f = F_n * D = 3 \text{ mm} * 5 = 15 \text{ mm}$


Nodal point is 15 mm in front of focus, 5 mm in front of B

B) translation of beamsplitter does not change angle of beam – no effect

C) Rotation of beamsplitter by $3 \mu\text{rad}$:

a. Reflected beam #1, light deviates by $2 * 3 \mu\text{rad} = 6 \mu\text{rad}$
spot motion of $EFL * \alpha = 15 \text{ mm} * 6 \mu\text{rad} = 90 \mu(\text{mm})$
 $= 90 \text{ nm} = 0.090 \mu\text{m}$

b. Transmitted beam #2 – no effect



D) Lateral translation of lens by $0.1 \mu\text{m}$ causes image to move by $0.1 \mu\text{m}$

E) $20 \mu\text{rad}$ Rotation about B moves nodal point by $20 \mu\text{rad} * 5 \text{ mm} = 100 \mu(\text{mm}) = 0.1 \mu\text{m}$

F) $0.1 \mu\text{m}$ Lateral translation of fiber looks the same as $0.1 \mu\text{m}$ image motion

G) Combine in RSS

	Beam #1 (μm)	Beam #2 (μm)
B	0	0
C	0.09	0
D	0.1	0.1
E	0.1	0.1
F	0.1	0.1
RSS	0.2 (actually 0.195)	0.17

General relationship for tilt due to element motion and image shift.
 (See reference paper.)

$$\varepsilon = \frac{D_i}{2NA} \Delta\theta_i = D_i \cdot F_n \cdot \Delta\theta_i$$

- ε shift in image position
- $\Delta\theta_i$ change in ray angle at element i
- D_i beam diameter at element i (looking at rays from on-axis point)
- NA system numerical aperture (defined at image)
- F_n system focal ratio (defined at image)

Use footprint diagram to get D_i , beam footprint on element i for on-axis case

