

## Solution Set 1

### Problem I

Note: The notation used here is somewhat pedantic, but with a bit of care we can keep track. For clarity let's put hats on the operators and reserve the script  $\mathcal{P}$ 's for probabilities.

(a) The observables  $\hat{X}(1)$  and  $\hat{Y}(2)$  are projectors onto the states  $|x_1\rangle$  and  $|y_2\rangle$ , respectively. We can guess and confirm by inspection ( $\hat{X}(1)|0_1\rangle = |1_1\rangle\langle 1_1|0_1\rangle = 0|0_1\rangle$  etc.) that

Observables	Eigenvalues	Eigenvectors	Projectors
$\hat{X}(1)$	0	$ 0_1\rangle$	$\hat{P}_{x=0}(1) =  0_1\rangle\langle 0_1 $
	1	$ 1_1\rangle$	$\hat{P}_{x=1}(1) =  1_1\rangle\langle 1_1 $
$\hat{Y}(2)$	0	$ 0_2\rangle$	$\hat{P}_{y=0}(2) =  0_2\rangle\langle 0_2 $
	1	$ 1_2\rangle$	$\hat{P}_{y=1}(2) =  1_2\rangle\langle 1_2 $

(b) **Product State**  $|\Psi\rangle = (a_0|0_1\rangle + a_1|1_1\rangle)(b_0|0_2\rangle + b_1|1_2\rangle)$

Measure  $\hat{X}(1)$

outcome 0 :  $\mathcal{P}(x=0) = \langle\Psi|\hat{P}_{x=1}(1)\otimes\hat{I}(2)|\Psi\rangle = |a_0|^2$

outcome 1 :  $\mathcal{P}(x=1) = \langle\Psi|\hat{P}_{x=1}(1)\otimes\hat{I}(2)|\Psi\rangle = |a_1|^2$

Measure  $\hat{Y}(2)$

outcome 0 :  $\mathcal{P}(y=0) = \langle\Psi|\hat{I}(1)\otimes\hat{P}_{y=0}(2)|\Psi\rangle = |b_0|^2$

outcome 1 :  $\mathcal{P}(y=1) = \langle\Psi|\hat{I}(1)\otimes\hat{P}_{y=1}(2)|\Psi\rangle = |b_1|^2$

**Entangled State**  $|\chi\rangle = \alpha|0_1, 0_2\rangle + \beta|1_1, 1_2\rangle$

Measure  $\hat{X}(1)$

outcome 0 :  $\mathcal{P}(x=0) = \langle\chi|\hat{P}_{x=0}(1)\otimes\hat{I}(2)|\chi\rangle = |\alpha|^2$

outcome 1 :  $\mathcal{P}(x=1) = 1 - \mathcal{P}(x=0) = |\beta|^2$

Measure  $\hat{Y}(2)$

outcome 0 :  $\mathcal{P}(y=0) = \langle\chi|\hat{I}(1)\otimes\hat{P}_{y=0}(2)|\chi\rangle = |\alpha|^2,$

outcome 1 :  $\mathcal{P}(y=1) = 1 - \mathcal{P}(y=0) = |\beta|^2$

(c) As in part (a), we can guess and confirm the eigenvalues and eigenstates of  $\hat{C}$ . E. g

Observable	Eigenvalues	Eigenvectors	Projectors
$\hat{C}$	1	$ 0_1 0_2\rangle,  1_1 1_2\rangle$	$\hat{P}_{C=1}(1) =  0_1 0_2\rangle\langle 0_1 0_2  +  1_1 1_2\rangle\langle 1_1 1_2 $
	0	$ 0_1 1_2\rangle,  1_1 0_2\rangle$	$\hat{P}_{C=0}(1) =  0_1 1_2\rangle\langle 0_1 1_2  +  1_1 0_2\rangle\langle 1_1 0_2 $

Note that the outcomes indicate whether the qubit states are correlated ( $C=1$ ) or anti-correlated ( $C=0$ ).

(d) **Case  $|\Psi\rangle$ .** We write the density operator  $\hat{\rho}$  out explicitly:

$$\hat{\rho} = (a_0|0_1\rangle + a_1|1_1\rangle)(a_0^*\langle 0_1| + a_1^*\langle 1_1|)(b_0|0_2\rangle + b_1|1_2\rangle)(b_0^*\langle 0_2| + b_1^*\langle 1_2|)$$

Next we use

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\rho}(1) &= \text{Tr}_1[\hat{\rho}] = \sum_{y_2=0,1} \langle y_2 | \hat{\rho} | y_2 \rangle \\ &= (a_0|0_1\rangle + a_1|1_1\rangle)(a_0^*\langle 0_1| + a_1^*\langle 1_1|) \underbrace{\sum_{y_2=0,1} \langle y_2 | (b_0|0_2\rangle + b_1|1_2\rangle)(b_0^*\langle 0_2| + b_1^*\langle 1_2|) | y_2 \rangle}_{= |b_0|^2 + |b_1|^2 = 1} \\ &= (a_0|0_1\rangle + a_1|1_1\rangle)(a_0^*\langle 0_1| + a_1^*\langle 1_1|) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get 
$$\hat{\rho} = \begin{bmatrix} |a_0|^2 & a_0 a_1^* \\ a_0^* a_1 & |a_1|^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Case  $|\chi\rangle$ .** Again, we write  $\hat{\rho}$  out explicitly:  $\hat{\rho} = (\alpha|0_1 0_2\rangle + \beta|1_1 1_2\rangle)(\alpha^*\langle 0_1 0_2| + \beta^*\langle 1_1 1_2|)$

Thus, 
$$\hat{\rho}(1) = \sum_{y_2=0,1} \langle y_2 | \hat{\rho} | y_2 \rangle = |\alpha|^2 |0_1 0_2\rangle\langle 0_1 0_2| + |\beta|^2 |1_1 1_2\rangle\langle 1_1 1_2| = \begin{bmatrix} |\alpha|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & |\beta|^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Finally, we return to the probability of measurement outcomes  $\mathcal{P}(x=0, 1)$  and compute these using the reduced density operators.

**Case  $|\Psi\rangle$**  
$$\mathcal{P}(x=0) = \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}(1)\hat{P}_{x=0}(1)] = \text{Tr}\left[\begin{pmatrix} |a_0|^2 & a_0 a_1^* \\ a_0^* a_1 & |a_1|^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right] = |a_0|^2$$

$$\mathcal{P}(x=1) = \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}(1)\hat{P}_{x=1}(1)] = \text{Tr}\left[\begin{pmatrix} |a_0|^2 & a_0 a_1^* \\ a_0^* a_1 & |a_1|^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right] = |a_1|^2$$

**Case  $|\chi\rangle$**  Same approach  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(x=0) = |\alpha|^2, \mathcal{P}(x=1) = |\beta|^2.$

## Problem II

(a) The preamble to part II(a) is mainly an excuse to introduce the basis states  $|j, m_j\rangle$  for the two-spin system, where  $j$  is the quantum number for the total angular momentum, and  $m_j$  is quantum number for its projection onto the quantization axis. Note in particular the existence of the so-called singlet state,  $|0,0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle - |-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\rangle)$ , which has zero total angular momentum and zero projection onto the quantization axis. This particular entangled 2-spin state is invariant with respect to all spatial rotations and therefore essential for the Bell paradox.

The Problem that is actually asked is straightforward: We are given four states and their probability of occurrence in the ensemble. By definition,

$$\hat{\rho} = 0.4 \times |j=1, m_j=1\rangle \langle j=1, m_j=1| + 0.3 \times |j=1, m_j=0\rangle \langle j=1, m_j=0| \\ + 0.2 \times |j=1, m_j=-1\rangle \langle j=1, m_j=1| + 0.1 \times |j=0, m_j=0\rangle \langle j=0, m_j=0|$$

in the  $|j, m_j\rangle$  representation. This is clearly of the form  $\hat{\rho} = \sum_k p_k |\psi_k\rangle \langle \psi_k|$ , where more than one of the probabilities  $p_k$  are non-zero. That means we have a mixed state.

### Problem III

(a)  $\hat{\rho}$  is Hermitian and therefore has real-valued eigenvalues  $\pi_i$  and associated eigenvectors  $|\chi_i\rangle$  that form an orthonormal basis in state space. That means we can write  $\hat{\rho}$  on the form

$$\hat{\rho} = \sum_i \pi_i |\chi_i\rangle\langle\chi_i|$$

Also, 
$$\hat{\rho}^2 = \sum_{i,j} \pi_i |\chi_i\rangle\langle\chi_i| \pi_j |\chi_j\rangle\langle\chi_j| = \sum_i \pi_i^2 |\chi_i\rangle\langle\chi_i|$$

(b) 
$$\hat{\rho} = \begin{bmatrix} \pi_1 & & & 0 \\ & \pi_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \pi_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{\rho}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \pi_1^2 & & & 0 \\ & \pi_2^2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \pi_n^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b-1) (b-2)

In the pure case  $\hat{\rho} = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ , so  $|\psi\rangle$  is an eigenvector of  $\hat{\rho}$  with eigenvalue 1. Choose  $|\chi_1\rangle = |\psi\rangle$ . The remaining  $|\chi_i\rangle \perp |\chi_1\rangle$  span the subspace associated with the  $n-1$  fold degenerate eigenvalue 0. Then  $\hat{\rho}$  has the form

(b-3) 
$$\hat{\rho} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & 0 \\ & 0 & \\ & & \ddots \\ 0 & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We see immediately that a density matrix of the form (b-3) has  $\text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}] = \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}^2] = 1$ .

However, a mixed density matrix of the form (b-1) has  $\text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}^2] = \sum_i \pi_i^2 \leq \left(\sum_i \pi_i\right)^2 = 1$

where we have used the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the "=" holds when one of the  $\pi_i$  is non-zero and the remaining  $\pi_j = 0$ .

(c) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}^2] &= \text{Tr}\left[\frac{d}{dt} \hat{\rho}^2\right] = \text{Tr}\left[\left(\frac{d}{dt} \hat{\rho}\right) \hat{\rho} + \hat{\rho} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \hat{\rho}\right)\right] = \text{Tr}\left[\frac{1}{i\hbar} [\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}] \hat{\rho} + \frac{1}{i\hbar} \hat{\rho} [\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}]\right] \\ &= \text{Tr}\left[\frac{1}{i\hbar} [\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}] \hat{\rho} + \frac{1}{i\hbar} \hat{\rho} [\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}]\right] = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \text{Tr}[\hat{H} \hat{\rho} \hat{\rho} - \hat{\rho} \hat{\rho} \hat{H}] = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \text{Tr}\left[\sum_{ijk} H_{ij} \rho_{jk} \rho_{ki} - \rho_{ij} \rho_{jk} H_{ki}\right] \end{aligned}$$

Now, since the trace is basis independent, we can at all times calculate it in the basis where  $\hat{\rho}$  is diagonal. In that case the only non-zero parts are those for which  $i=j=k$ , and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}^2] = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \text{Tr} \left[ \sum_i \hat{H}_{ii} \hat{\rho}_{ii} \hat{\rho}_{ii} - \hat{\rho}_{ii} \hat{\rho}_{ii} \hat{H}_{ii} \right] = 0$$

Thus  $\text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}^2]$  is constant in time, and a pure state cannot evolve into a mixed state and vice versa.

(d) Von-Neumann entropy  $S = -k_B \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \ln \hat{\rho})$

In basis  $|\chi_i\rangle$  we have  $S = -k_B \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \ln \hat{\rho}) = -k_B \sum_i \pi_i \ln \pi_i$

**Pure state:**

In an  $n$  dimensional space, a pure state can be written as  $\hat{\rho} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \begin{bmatrix} 1-(n-1)\epsilon & & 0 \\ & \epsilon & \\ & & \ddots \\ 0 & & & \epsilon \end{bmatrix}$

and thus  $-\text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \ln \hat{\rho}) = -\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[ (1-(n-1)\epsilon) \ln(1-(n-1)\epsilon) + \sum_{i=2}^n \epsilon \ln \epsilon \right] = 0$

where in the last step we used  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} [\epsilon \ln \epsilon] = 0$ . Thus pure states always have zero entropy.

**Mixed state:** We have

$$-\text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \ln \hat{\rho}) = -\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^j (\pi_i - \epsilon) \ln(\pi_i - \epsilon) + \sum_{j+1}^n \epsilon \ln \epsilon \right] = -\sum_{i=1}^j \pi_i \ln \pi_i \geq 0$$

It follows that for mixed states  $S = -k_B \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \ln \hat{\rho}) > 0$

Received as of 11/02/2023

Zhou	Yes
Condos	Yes
Tooley	Yes
Lopez	Yes
McCauley	Yes
O'Brien	Yes
Pacenti	Yes
Vats	No