

# Light-Matter Interaction

## Hierarchy of Sophistication:

- |                 |                                   |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
| - Classical     | Classical light, classical matter |
| - Semiclassical | Classical light, quantum matter   |
| - Quantum       | Quantum light, quantum matter     |

## Possible attitudes:

- |              |   |
|--------------|---|
| - Purist     | Most complete description possible                              |
| - Minimalist | Quantum only when necessary                                     |
| - Pragmatic  | Quantum or classical, based on what is simplest and still works |

**OPTI 544: All of the above in turn**

## Classical Theory of Light-Matter Interaction

Self-consistent, fully classical description



## Motivation: We will

- Develop Concepts  $\alpha(\omega), n, \chi$
- Develop Intuition
- Classical is often adequate, sometimes accurate
- A Quantum Theory has classical limits ➡  
Identify/understand regime of validity
- The Classical description is a useful starting point for Nonlinear and Quantum Optics

# Light-Matter Interaction

## The Electromagnetic Field: Basic Eqs. in SI Units

### Maxwell's eqs.

( no free charges, currents → dielectrics )

(i)  $\nabla \cdot \vec{D} = \rho = 0$        $\vec{D}$ : Dielectric displacement

(ii)  $\nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0$        $\vec{B}$ : Magnetic induction

(iii)  $\nabla \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t}$        $\vec{E}$ : Electric field

(iv)  $\nabla \times \vec{H} = \frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t} + \vec{J}$        $\vec{H}$ : Magnetic field

### Material Response:

(v)  $\vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{H} + \vec{M}$       ← Non-magnetic →  $\vec{M} = 0$

(vi)  $\vec{D} = \epsilon_0 \vec{E} + \vec{P}$       ← Info about response in dipole moment density (polarization density)

We need equations that describe:

- the behavior of  $\vec{E}$  for given  $\vec{P}$
- the medium response  $\vec{P}$  for given  $\vec{E}$

### Wave Equation:

Take curl of (iii), then use (iv)

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \vec{E}) = -\nabla \times \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \times \vec{B}) = -\mu_0 \frac{\partial^2 \vec{D}}{\partial t^2}$$

Next, use the identity

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \vec{E}) = \nabla (\nabla \cdot \vec{E}) - \nabla^2 \vec{E}$$

to obtain  $\nabla (\nabla \cdot \vec{E}) - \nabla^2 \vec{E} = -\mu_0 \frac{\partial^2 \vec{D}}{\partial t^2}$

Finally, let  $\vec{D} = \epsilon_0 \vec{E} + \vec{P}$ , use  $\epsilon_0 \mu_0 = \frac{1}{c^2}$ ,

and rearrange to obtain

$$\nabla^2 \vec{E} - \nabla (\nabla \cdot \vec{E}) - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{E}}{\partial t^2} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0 c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{P}}{\partial t^2}$$

This is the Wave Equation, still exact in this form

# Light-Matter Interaction

## Transverse Fields

Definition: a field for which  $\nabla \cdot \vec{E} = 0$   
is Transverse

Example: a plane wave,  $\vec{E}(\vec{r}, t) = \vec{E}(t) e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}}$ ,  
where  $\vec{E}(t) \perp \vec{k}$ , is transverse.

The physical field is  $\text{Re}[\vec{E}(\vec{r}, t)]$

For transverse fields the wave equation simplifies to

$$\nabla^2 \vec{E} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{E}}{\partial t^2} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0 c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{P}}{\partial t^2}$$

This version of the wave equation can be a poor approximation in non-isotropic media!

## Isotropic Media

Absent a preferred direction, the induced  $\vec{P}$   
must be parallel to the driving field  $\vec{E}$

Regime of Linear response, steady state:

$$\vec{D}(t) = \epsilon_0 \vec{E}(t) + \vec{P}(t) \quad \text{where} \quad \vec{P}(t) = R \cdot \vec{E}(t)$$

Constant, same units as  $\epsilon_0$ , but  $R \gg \epsilon_0$

Regime of Linear response, transient case:

$$\vec{D}(t) = \epsilon_0 \vec{E}(t) + \int_{-\infty}^t dt' R(t-t') \vec{E}(t')$$

where the *response function*  $R(t-t')$  is a scalar  
and we have  $R(\tau) = 0$  for  $\tau < 0$

Take divergence on both sides and use M.E. (1)

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{D}(t) = \epsilon_0 \nabla \cdot \vec{E}(t) + \int_{-\infty}^t dt' R(t-t') \nabla \cdot \vec{E}(t') = 0$$

and  $\nabla \cdot \vec{E}(t) = - \int_{-\infty}^t dt' R(t-t') \nabla \cdot \vec{E}(t')$  for all  $t$

It follows that  $\nabla \cdot \vec{E}(t) = 0$  for all  $t$ ,

OR  $R(\tau) = -2\delta(\tau)$