

Problem 4) a) Each voltage and current in the circuit has a Fourier transform; that is,

$$V_s(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v_s(t)e^{-i2\pi st} dt, \quad (1)$$

$$V_c(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v_c(t)e^{-i2\pi st} dt, \quad (2)$$

$$V_L(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v_L(t)e^{-i2\pi st} dt, \quad (3)$$

$$I(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} i(t)e^{-i2\pi st} dt, \quad (4)$$

$$I_{1,2}(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} i_{1,2}(t)e^{-i2\pi st} dt. \quad (5)$$

The time-dependent voltages and currents may be written as inverse Fourier transforms, namely,

$$v_c(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V_c(s)e^{i2\pi st} ds, \quad (6)$$

$$v_L(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V_L(s)e^{i2\pi st} ds, \quad (7)$$

$$i_{1,2}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} I_{1,2}(s)e^{i2\pi st} ds. \quad (8)$$

In the Fourier domain, the capacitor's current and voltage have a simple proportionality relation:

$$i_1(t) = C dv_c(t)/dt = C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (i2\pi s)V_c(s)e^{i2\pi st} ds \rightarrow I_1(s) = i2\pi CsV_c(s). \quad (9)$$

Similarly, the inductor's current and voltage have a simple proportionality relation, as follows:

$$v_L(t) = L di_2(t)/dt = L \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (i2\pi s)I_2(s)e^{i2\pi st} ds \rightarrow V_L(s) = i2\pi LsI_2(s). \quad (10)$$

Since the voltage drop across the R_1C branch equals the voltage drop across the R_2L branch, upon substitution for $I_1(s)$ from Eq.(9) and for $V_L(s)$ from Eq.(10), we find

$$V_c + R_1I_1 = R_2I_2 + V_L \rightarrow (1 + i2\pi R_1Cs)V_c = (R_2 + i2\pi Ls)I_2 \rightarrow I_2(s) = \left(\frac{1+i2\pi R_1Cs}{R_2+i2\pi Ls}\right)V_c(s). \quad (11)$$

The source voltage $V_s(s)$ drops across the resistor R_0 and the R_1C branch, yielding

$$V_s = R_0(I_1 + I_2) + V_c + R_1I_1. \quad (12)$$

b) Substituting into Eq.(12) for $I_1(s)$ and $I_2(s)$ from Eqs.(9) and (11), we finally arrive at

$$V_s = i2\pi(R_0 + R_1)CsV_c + R_0\left(\frac{1+i2\pi R_1Cs}{R_2+i2\pi Ls}\right)V_c + V_c. \quad (13)$$

Thus, in the Fourier domain, the capacitor voltage is proportional to the source voltage; that is,

$$V_c(s) = -\frac{R_2 + i2\pi Ls}{4\pi^2(R_0+R_1)LCs^2 - i2\pi[L+(R_0R_1+R_0R_2+R_1R_2)C]s - (R_0+R_2)}V_s(s) = H(s)V_s(s). \quad (14)$$

The transfer function $H(s)$ is seen to be the ratio of a first-order polynomial (in s) to a second-order polynomial (in s).

c) For an impulsive voltage source, $V_s(s) = \mathcal{F}\{\delta(t)\} = 1$, Eq.(14) yields $V_c(s) = H(s)$. Thus, the transfer function is the Fourier transform of the impulse-response $h(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(s)e^{i2\pi st} ds$. The

capacitor's impulse-response, $v_c(t) = h(t)$, behaving as a damped harmonic oscillator, can be computed by integration in the complex s -plane over infinitely large semi-circular contours.

Since both poles s_1 and s_2 of the transfer function are in the s -plane's upper-half, the integral over a semi-circular contour closing in the lower-half s -plane yields $v_c(t) = 0$ for $t < 0$. As for $t > 0$, the integral must be computed over a large semi-circular loop closing in the upper-half of the s -plane. The sum of the residues at the two poles (s_1 and s_2) yields the capacitor's voltage $v_c(t)$ for $t > 0$. While the real part of the poles corresponds to the oscillation frequency, their imaginary part represents the time constant of the exponential decay caused by the resistive losses in R_0 , R_1 , and R_2 .

Digression. The denominator of $V_c(s)$, which, for the impulsive voltage source, equals $H(s)$, has two roots, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} s_{1,2} &= i\pi[L + (R_0R_1 + R_0R_2 + R_1R_2)C] \\ &\quad \pm \sqrt{-\pi^2[L + (R_0R_1 + R_0R_2 + R_1R_2)C]^2 + 4\pi^2(R_0 + R_1)(R_0 + R_2)LC} \\ &= i\pi[L + (R_0R_1 + R_0R_2 + R_1R_2)C] \pm \pi\sqrt{4R_0^2LC - [L - (R_0R_1 + R_0R_2 + R_1R_2)C]^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

If the expression under the radical is positive, both s_1 and s_2 will lie in the upper-half of the complex s -plane — one in the first quadrant and the other in the second. In this case, the real parts of s_1 and s_2 determine the oscillation frequency of $v_c(t)$, while the imaginary part dictates the exponential decay rate. In contrast, if the square root turns out to be imaginary, then s_1 and s_2 will be exclusively on the s -plane's imaginary axis, resulting in purely exponential decay of $v_c(t)$ without oscillation. An outline of the argument showing that both imaginary roots in the latter case are in the upper-half of the s -plane is provided below.

$$\begin{aligned} &[L + (R_0R_1 + R_0R_2 + R_1R_2)C] \pm \sqrt{[L - (R_0R_1 + R_0R_2 + R_1R_2)C]^2 - 4R_0^2LC} \geq 0 \\ \rightarrow &[L + (R_0R_1 + R_0R_2 + R_1R_2)C]^2 \geq [L - (R_0R_1 + R_0R_2 + R_1R_2)C]^2 - 4R_0^2LC \\ \rightarrow &4(R_0R_1 + R_0R_2 + R_1R_2)LC + 4R_0^2LC \geq 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad 4(R_0 + R_1)(R_0 + R_2)LC \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$
