Problem 2) a) On the large circle, $f(z)=z^{\lambda}=R^{\lambda} e^{\mathrm{i} \lambda \varphi}$, with $0 \leq \varphi<2 \pi$. Similarly, on the small circle, $f(z)=\varepsilon^{\lambda} e^{\mathrm{i} \lambda \varphi}$, again with $0 \leq \varphi<2 \pi$. On the straight-line-segment immediately above the real axis, $\varphi=0$ and $f(z)=x^{\lambda}$, with $\varepsilon \leq x \leq R$. And on the straight-line-segment immediately below the real axis, $\varphi=2 \pi$ and $f(z)=x^{\lambda} e^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi \lambda}$, again with $\varepsilon \leq x \leq R$.
b)

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\oint_{\text {circle }} z^{\lambda} \mathrm{d} z & =\int_{\varphi=0}^{2 \pi} R^{\lambda} e^{\mathrm{i} \lambda \varphi} \underbrace{\left(\mathrm{i} R e^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}\right) \mathrm{d} \varphi}_{\mathrm{d} z}=\mathrm{i} R^{\lambda+1} \int_{\varphi=0}^{2 \pi} e^{\mathrm{i}(\lambda+1) \varphi} \mathrm{d} \varphi  \tag{1}\\
& = \begin{cases}2 \pi \mathrm{i}, & \lambda=-1 ; \\
R^{\lambda+1}\left[e^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi(\lambda+1)}-1\right] /(\lambda+1), & \lambda \neq-1 .\end{cases}
\end{array}
$$

The integral around the circle of radius $R$ is thus seen to be nonzero, unless $\lambda$ is an integer (positive, zero, or negative) other than -1 . Note in the above equation that $e^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi(\lambda+1)}$ can be replaced by $e^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi \lambda}$, simply because $e^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi}=1$.
c) The equation for the large circle obtained in part (b) can also be used for the small circle of radius $\varepsilon$, provided that the sign of the integral is reversed (because the direction of travel along the small circle is opposite to that around the large circle). We thus have

$$
\oint_{\text {small circle }} z^{\lambda} \mathrm{d} z= \begin{cases}-2 \pi \mathrm{i}, & \lambda=-1  \tag{2}\\ \varepsilon^{\lambda+1}\left(1-e^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi \lambda}\right) /(\lambda+1), & \lambda \neq-1\end{cases}
$$

If $\lambda$ happens to be an integer (positive, zero, or negative) other than -1 , no matter how small the value of $\varepsilon$ may be, the integral around the small circle will be zero (because $e^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi \lambda}=1$ ). Also, for non-integer as well as complex values of $\lambda$ having $\lambda^{\prime}>-1$, the integral around the small circle tends toward zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$; the reason is that $\varepsilon^{\lambda+1}=\varepsilon^{\lambda^{\prime}+1} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{i} \lambda^{\prime \prime}}$ and, so long as $\lambda^{\prime}+1$ remains positive, $\varepsilon^{\lambda^{\prime}+1}$ vanishes in the limit when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. This is remarkable, considering that for $-1<\lambda^{\prime}<0$, the origin at $z=0$ is a singular point of $f(z)$.

On the straight-line-segment immediately above the branch-cut, noting that $z^{\lambda}=x^{\lambda}$, we evaluate the integral from $x=\varepsilon$ to $x=R$ as follows:

$$
\int_{\varepsilon}^{R} x^{\lambda} \mathrm{d} x= \begin{cases}\ln R-\ln \varepsilon, & \lambda=-1  \tag{3}\\ \left(R^{\lambda+1}-\varepsilon^{\lambda+1}\right) /(\lambda+1), & \lambda \neq-1\end{cases}
$$

Similarly, on the straight-line-segment immediately below the branch-cut, where $z^{\lambda}=$ $\left(x e^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi}\right)^{\lambda}$, we evaluate the integral from $x=\varepsilon$ to $x=R$ as follows:

$$
\int_{\varepsilon}^{R}\left(x e^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi}\right)^{\lambda} \mathrm{d} x= \begin{cases}(\ln R-\ln \varepsilon) e^{-\mathrm{j} 2 \pi}, & \lambda=-1  \tag{4}\\ \left(R^{\lambda+1}-\varepsilon^{\lambda+1}\right) e^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi \lambda} /(\lambda+1), & \lambda \neq-1\end{cases}
$$

Note that, for $\lambda=-1$, both integrals along the straight-line-segments diverge to infinity when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ (because $\ln \varepsilon \rightarrow-\infty$ ). However, considering that these line-segments are traversed in opposite directions, their contributions to the overall loop integral cancel out. If $\lambda$ happens to be an integer (positive, zero, or negative), then $e^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi \lambda}$ appearing in Eq.(4) will be equal to 1, in which case the contributions of the two line-segments in Eqs.(3) and (4) cancel out again. (When $\lambda$ is a negative integer, both integrals in Eqs.(3) and (4) diverge to infinity, but they cancel out nonetheless.) For non-integer $\lambda$, given that $e^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi \lambda} \neq 1$, the two line-segments do not cancel out.
d) When $\lambda=-1$, the contributions of the two straight-line-segments given in Eqs.(3) and (4) cancel out, since they are being traversed in opposite directions. The contribution of the small circle is $-2 \pi \mathrm{i}$, which cancels out the contribution of the large circle; see Eqs.(1) and (2). The overall loop integral thus vanishes, as it should in accordance with the Cauchy-Goursat theorem.

In the case of $\lambda \neq-1$, adding the contribution of the small circle of radius $\varepsilon$ to those of the straight lines immediately above and below the branch-cut yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{3 \text { segments }} z^{\lambda} \mathrm{d} z=\frac{\left(R^{\lambda+1}-\varepsilon^{2} / 1\right)+\varepsilon^{\lambda+1}\left(\lambda-e^{\mathrm{i} 2 / \hbar \lambda}\right)-\left(R^{\lambda+1}-\varepsilon^{\lambda \not / 1}\right) e^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi \lambda}}{\lambda+1}=\frac{R^{\lambda+1}\left(1-e^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi \lambda}\right)}{\lambda+1} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, this integral cancels the one given by Eq.(1), confirming once again that the overall loop integral equals zero.

Digression: One way to demonstrate that $f(z)=f\left(r e^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}\right)=r^{\lambda} e^{\mathrm{i} \lambda \varphi}$ is analytic everywhere (except, of course, at the branch-point $z=0$ and on the branch-cut) is to compute the change $\Delta f(z)$ in $f(z)$ when $z$ changes slightly from $r e^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}$ by $\Delta z=(\Delta r+\mathrm{i} r \Delta \varphi) e^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}$. We will have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta f(z)=\left(\partial_{r} f\right) \Delta r+\left(\partial_{\varphi} f\right) \Delta \varphi=\lambda r^{\lambda-1} e^{\mathrm{i} \lambda \varphi} \Delta r+\mathrm{i} \lambda r^{\lambda} e^{\mathrm{i} \lambda \varphi} \Delta \varphi=\lambda r^{\lambda-1} e^{\mathrm{i} \lambda \varphi}(\Delta r+\mathrm{i} r \Delta \varphi) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The local derivative of $f(z)$ is thus seen to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=\lim _{(\Delta r, \Delta \varphi) \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta f(z)}{\Delta z}=\frac{\lambda r^{\lambda-1} e^{\mathrm{i} \lambda \varphi}(\Delta r+\mathrm{i} r \Delta \varphi)}{(\Delta r+\mathrm{i} r \Delta \varphi) e^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}}=\lambda r^{\lambda-1} e^{\mathrm{i}(\lambda-1) \varphi}=\lambda z^{\lambda-1} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above derivative is clearly independent of the direction of $\Delta z$ in the complex $z$-plane. The derivative exists everywhere except at the branch-point $(z=0)$ and on the branch-cut, where the phase angle $\varphi$ undergoes a $2 \pi$ jump.

