Problem 2) a) On the large circle, $f(z) = z^{\lambda} = R^{\lambda}e^{i\lambda\varphi}$, with $0 \le \varphi < 2\pi$. Similarly, on the small circle, $f(z) = \varepsilon^{\lambda}e^{i\lambda\varphi}$, again with $0 \le \varphi < 2\pi$. On the straight-line-segment immediately above the real axis, $\varphi = 0$ and $f(z) = x^{\lambda}$, with $\varepsilon \le x \le R$. And on the straight-line-segment immediately below the real axis, $\varphi = 2\pi$ and $f(z) = x^{\lambda}e^{i2\pi\lambda}$, again with $\varepsilon \le x \le R$.

b)
$$\oint_{\text{circle}} z^{\lambda} dz = \int_{\varphi=0}^{2\pi} R^{\lambda} e^{i\lambda\varphi} \underbrace{(iRe^{i\varphi})d\varphi}_{dz} = iR^{\lambda+1} \int_{\varphi=0}^{2\pi} e^{i(\lambda+1)\varphi} d\varphi$$
$$= \begin{cases} 2\pi i, & \lambda = -1; \\ R^{\lambda+1} [e^{i2\pi(\lambda+1)} - 1]/(\lambda+1), & \lambda \neq -1. \end{cases}$$
(1)

The integral around the circle of radius *R* is thus seen to be nonzero, unless λ is an integer (positive, zero, or negative) other than -1. Note in the above equation that $e^{i2\pi(\lambda+1)}$ can be replaced by $e^{i2\pi\lambda}$, simply because $e^{i2\pi} = 1$.

c) The equation for the large circle obtained in part (b) can also be used for the small circle of radius ε , provided that the sign of the integral is reversed (because the direction of travel along the small circle is opposite to that around the large circle). We thus have

$$\oint_{\text{small circle}} z^{\lambda} dz = \begin{cases} -2\pi i, & \lambda = -1; \\ \varepsilon^{\lambda+1} (1 - e^{i2\pi\lambda})/(\lambda+1), & \lambda \neq -1. \end{cases}$$
(2)

If λ happens to be an integer (positive, zero, or negative) other than -1, no matter how small the value of ε may be, the integral around the small circle will be zero (because $e^{i2\pi\lambda} = 1$). Also, for non-integer as well as complex values of λ having $\lambda' > -1$, the integral around the small circle tends toward zero as $\varepsilon \to 0$; the reason is that $\varepsilon^{\lambda+1} = \varepsilon^{\lambda'+1}\varepsilon^{i\lambda''}$ and, so long as $\lambda' + 1$ remains positive, $\varepsilon^{\lambda'+1}$ vanishes in the limit when $\varepsilon \to 0$. This is remarkable, considering that for $-1 < \lambda' < 0$, the origin at z = 0 is a singular point of f(z).

On the straight-line-segment immediately above the branch-cut, noting that $z^{\lambda} = x^{\lambda}$, we evaluate the integral from $x = \varepsilon$ to x = R as follows:

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^{R} x^{\lambda} dx = \begin{cases} \ln R - \ln \varepsilon, & \lambda = -1; \\ (R^{\lambda + 1} - \varepsilon^{\lambda + 1})/(\lambda + 1), & \lambda \neq -1. \end{cases}$$
(3)

Similarly, on the straight-line-segment immediately below the branch-cut, where $z^{\lambda} = (xe^{i2\pi})^{\lambda}$, we evaluate the integral from $x = \varepsilon$ to x = R as follows:

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^{R} (xe^{i2\pi})^{\lambda} dx = \begin{cases} (\ln R - \ln \varepsilon)e^{-i2\pi}, & \lambda = -1; \\ (R^{\lambda+1} - \varepsilon^{\lambda+1})e^{i2\pi\lambda}/(\lambda+1), & \lambda \neq -1. \end{cases}$$
(4)

Note that, for $\lambda = -1$, both integrals along the straight-line-segments diverge to infinity when $\varepsilon \to 0$ (because $\ln \varepsilon \to -\infty$). However, considering that these line-segments are traversed in opposite directions, their contributions to the overall loop integral cancel out. If λ happens to be an integer (positive, zero, or negative), then $e^{i2\pi\lambda}$ appearing in Eq.(4) will be equal to 1, in which case the contributions of the two line-segments in Eqs.(3) and (4) cancel out again. (When λ is a negative integer, both integrals in Eqs.(3) and (4) diverge to infinity, but they cancel out nonetheless.) For non-integer λ , given that $e^{i2\pi\lambda} \neq 1$, the two line-segments do not cancel out. d) When $\lambda = -1$, the contributions of the two straight-line-segments given in Eqs.(3) and (4) cancel out, since they are being traversed in opposite directions. The contribution of the small circle is $-2\pi i$, which cancels out the contribution of the large circle; see Eqs.(1) and (2). The overall loop integral thus vanishes, as it should in accordance with the Cauchy-Goursat theorem.

In the case of $\lambda \neq -1$, adding the contribution of the small circle of radius ε to those of the straight lines immediately above and below the branch-cut yields

$$\int_{3 \text{ segments}} z^{\lambda} dz = \frac{(R^{\lambda+1} - \varepsilon^{\lambda+1}) + \varepsilon^{\lambda+1} (1 - e^{i2\pi\lambda}) - (R^{\lambda+1} - \varepsilon^{\lambda+1})e^{i2\pi\lambda}}{\lambda+1} = \frac{R^{\lambda+1} (1 - e^{i2\pi\lambda})}{\lambda+1}.$$
 (5)

Thus, this integral cancels the one given by Eq.(1), confirming once again that the overall loop integral equals zero.

Digression: One way to demonstrate that $f(z) = f(re^{i\varphi}) = r^{\lambda}e^{i\lambda\varphi}$ is analytic everywhere (except, of course, at the branch-point z = 0 and on the branch-cut) is to compute the change $\Delta f(z)$ in f(z) when z changes slightly from $re^{i\varphi}$ by $\Delta z = (\Delta r + ir\Delta\varphi)e^{i\varphi}$. We will have

$$\Delta f(z) = (\partial_r f) \Delta r + (\partial_\varphi f) \Delta \varphi = \lambda r^{\lambda - 1} e^{i\lambda\varphi} \Delta r + i\lambda r^\lambda e^{i\lambda\varphi} \Delta \varphi = \lambda r^{\lambda - 1} e^{i\lambda\varphi} (\Delta r + ir\Delta\varphi).$$
(6)

The local derivative of f(z) is thus seen to be

$$f'(z) = \lim_{(\Delta r, \Delta \varphi) \to 0} \frac{\Delta f(z)}{\Delta z} = \frac{\lambda r^{\lambda - 1} e^{i\lambda\varphi} (\Delta r + ir\Delta\varphi)}{(\Delta r + ir\Delta\varphi) e^{i\varphi}} = \lambda r^{\lambda - 1} e^{i(\lambda - 1)\varphi} = \lambda z^{\lambda - 1}.$$
 (7)

The above derivative is clearly independent of the direction of Δz in the complex z-plane. The derivative exists everywhere except at the branch-point (z = 0) and on the branch-cut, where the phase angle φ undergoes a 2π jump.