

**Problem 2)** Substituting  $f(x) = x^s \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m x^m$  into the Laguerre equation, we find

$$x \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m (s+m)(s+m-1)x^{s+m-2} + (1+\alpha-x) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m (s+m)x^{s+m-1} + n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m x^{s+m} = 0.$$

Upon separating the  $m = 0$  term from two of the infinite sums, the above equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 s(s-1)x^{s-1} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m (s+m)(s+m-1)x^{s+m-1} + (1+\alpha)a_0 s x^{s-1} \\ + (1+\alpha) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m (s+m)x^{s+m-1} - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m (s+m)x^{s+m} + n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m x^{s+m} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The indicial equation, which is readily seen to be  $a_0 s(s-1)x^{s-1} + (1+\alpha)a_0 s x^{s-1} = 0$ , simplifies to

$$a_0 s(s+\alpha) = 0.$$

The first two infinite sums can be reorganized by introducing the new dummy  $m' = m - 1$ , then reverting  $m'$  back to  $m$ . The four summations can then be combined to yield

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{m+1} (s+m+1)(s+m)x^{s+m} + (1+\alpha) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{m+1} (s+m+1)x^{s+m} \\ - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m (s+m-n)x^{s+m} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Setting the coefficient of  $x^{s+m}$  to zero (for all  $m$  from 0 to  $\infty$ ), we arrive at the following recursion relation:

$$a_{m+1} (s+m+1)(s+m+1+\alpha) - a_m (s+m-n) = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad a_{m+1} = \frac{s+m-n}{(s+m+1)(s+m+1+\alpha)} a_m.$$

The simplest solution of the indicial equation is  $s = 0$ , which allows  $a_0$  to be chosen arbitrarily while the remaining coefficients are obtained from the recursion relation, as follows:

$$a_{m+1} = \frac{m-n}{(m+1)(m+1+\alpha)} a_m.$$

This recursion relation indicates that the last nonzero coefficient will be  $a_n$ , since at  $m = n$  the numerator vanishes, at which point  $a_{n+1}$  along with all subsequent coefficients  $a_m$  become zero. The first few coefficients now reveal the pattern for a general expression of  $a_m$ , namely,

$$a_1 = -\left(\frac{n}{1+\alpha}\right) a_0 \quad \rightarrow \quad a_2 = \frac{n(n-1)}{2(1+\alpha)(2+\alpha)} a_0 \quad \rightarrow \quad a_3 = -\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{2 \cdot 3(1+\alpha)(2+\alpha)(3+\alpha)} a_0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \dots$$

$$\rightarrow a_m = (-1)^m \frac{n! \alpha!}{m! (n-m)! (m+\alpha)!} a_0 = (-1)^m \frac{(n+\alpha)!}{m! (n-m)! (m+\alpha)!} \frac{n! \alpha!}{(n+\alpha)!} a_0.$$

For non-integer  $\alpha$ , factorial is interpreted in terms of Euler's Gamma function.

Considering that  $n$  and  $\alpha$  are constant parameters, the polynomial solution for  $f(x)$  may be normalized by setting  $a_0 = \binom{n+\alpha}{n}$ , in which case the Laguerre polynomial of order  $n$  and parameter  $\alpha$ , denoted by  $L_n^\alpha(x)$ , is seen to be

$$L_n^\alpha(x) = \sum_{m=0}^n (-1)^m \binom{n+\alpha}{n-m} \frac{x^m}{m!}.$$

**Digression:** The above solution runs into trouble when  $\alpha$  is a negative integer, say,  $\alpha = -\nu$ . In this case, if  $1 \leq \nu \leq n$ , the recursion relation requires that  $a_{\nu-1}$  vanish and, applying the recursion in the reverse direction, one finds that  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\nu-2}$  must vanish as well. The only

nonzero coefficients will then be  $a_\nu, a_{\nu+1}, \dots, a_n$ . If  $\nu \geq n + 1$ , the above solution for  $L_n^\alpha(x)$  retains its validity and, in addition, a new series starts at  $m = \nu$  with arbitrary  $a_\nu$  and continues all the way to infinity, yielding a second solution in the form of an infinite-order polynomial.

As for the second solution  $s = -\alpha$  of the indicial equation, several possibilities exist:

i) If  $\alpha$  happens to be a non-integer, then all the coefficients  $a_m$  obtained from the recursion relation will be nonzero and, of course, proportional to  $a_0$ ; that is,

$$a_{m+1} = \frac{m-n-\alpha}{(m+1)(m+1-\alpha)} a_m.$$

The second solution of the Laguerre equation for  $f(x)$  will then be  $x^{-\alpha}$  times a polynomial of infinite-order.

ii) Case of  $\alpha = 0$ . Here, the second solution of the indicial equation is also  $s = 0$ , and

$$a_{m+1} = \frac{m-n}{(m+1)^2} a_m.$$

The coefficients  $a_0$  through  $a_n$  will be nonzero, and the resulting solution is the same as that obtained in the previous case of  $s = 0$ .

iii) Case of  $\alpha = \nu$ , where  $\nu > 0$  is an integer. Here,  $s = -\nu$  and

$$a_{m+1} = \frac{m-n-\nu}{(m+1)(m+1-\nu)} a_m.$$

In this case, when  $m = \nu - 1$ , the  $a_m$  coefficient must be zero, and using the recursion relation in reverse, one finds that all the coefficients from  $a_{\nu-1}$  to  $a_0$  must vanish. Going forward, however,  $a_\nu$  is arbitrary and  $a_{\nu+1}$  to  $a_{\nu+n}$  will be found from the recursion relation. The resulting solution ends up being identical to that found in the case of  $s = 0$ .

iv) Case of  $\alpha = -\nu$ , where  $\nu$  is a positive integer. Here,  $s = \nu$  and the recursion relation yields

$$a_{m+1} = \frac{m-n+\nu}{(m+1)(m+1+\nu)} a_m.$$

If  $1 \leq \nu \leq n$ , the coefficients after  $a_{n-\nu}$  will vanish, leaving  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-\nu}$  as the only nonzero coefficients. In contrast, if  $\nu \geq n + 1$ , all the  $a_m$  will be nonzero, yielding an infinite-order polynomial solution for the Laguerre equation. Both of these solutions are identical to those obtained previously when  $s = 0$  was picked as a solution of the indicial equation.

---