

Problem 1) a)  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\partial E_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial E_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial z} = 0 + 0 + 0 = 0.$

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \left(\frac{\partial E_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial E_y}{\partial z}\right) \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \left(\frac{\partial E_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial x}\right) \hat{\mathbf{y}} + \left(\frac{\partial E_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial E_x}{\partial y}\right) \hat{\mathbf{z}} \\ &= \{[0 - iE_0''(i\omega/c)]\hat{\mathbf{x}} + [E_0'(i\omega/c) - 0]\hat{\mathbf{y}} + [0 - 0]\hat{\mathbf{z}}\} e^{i[(\omega/c)z - \omega t]} \\ &= (\omega/c)(E_0''\hat{\mathbf{x}} + iE_0'\hat{\mathbf{y}}) e^{i[(\omega/c)z - \omega t]}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\partial H_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial H_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial H_z}{\partial z} = 0 + 0 + 0 = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \times \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \left(\frac{\partial H_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial H_y}{\partial z}\right) \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \left(\frac{\partial H_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial H_z}{\partial x}\right) \hat{\mathbf{y}} + \left(\frac{\partial H_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial H_x}{\partial y}\right) \hat{\mathbf{z}} \\ &= \{[0 - H_0'(i\omega/c)]\hat{\mathbf{x}} + [iH_0''(i\omega/c) - 0]\hat{\mathbf{y}} + [0 - 0]\hat{\mathbf{z}}\} e^{i[(\omega/c)z - \omega t]} \\ &= -(\omega/c)(iH_0'\hat{\mathbf{x}} + H_0''\hat{\mathbf{y}}) e^{i[(\omega/c)z - \omega t]}.\end{aligned}$$

b)  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \nabla \cdot (\epsilon_0 \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P}) = \epsilon_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0.$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \nabla \cdot (\mu_0 \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{M}) = \mu_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{H} = 0.$$

c)  $\partial \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r}, t) / \partial t = \epsilon_0 \partial \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) / \partial t = -i\omega \epsilon_0 (E_0''\hat{\mathbf{x}} + iE_0'\hat{\mathbf{y}}) e^{i[(\omega/c)z - \omega t]}.$

$$\partial \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) / \partial t = \mu_0 \partial \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, t) / \partial t = -i\omega \mu_0 (iH_0''\hat{\mathbf{x}} + H_0'\hat{\mathbf{y}}) e^{i[(\omega/c)z - \omega t]}.$$

d)  $\nabla \times \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{J}_{\text{free}}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \partial \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r}, t) / \partial t \rightarrow -(\omega/c)(iH_0''\hat{\mathbf{x}} + H_0'\hat{\mathbf{y}}) = -i\omega \epsilon_0 (E_0''\hat{\mathbf{x}} + iE_0'\hat{\mathbf{y}})$

$$\rightarrow \sqrt{\mu_0 \epsilon_0} (iH_0''\hat{\mathbf{x}} + H_0'\hat{\mathbf{y}}) = \epsilon_0 (iE_0''\hat{\mathbf{x}} - E_0'\hat{\mathbf{y}}) \rightarrow H_0' = E_0' / Z_0 \text{ and } H_0'' = -E_0'' / Z_0$$

$$\rightarrow \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, t) = (iH_0''\hat{\mathbf{x}} + H_0'\hat{\mathbf{y}}) e^{i[(\omega/c)z - \omega t]} = Z_0^{-1} (-iE_0''\hat{\mathbf{x}} + E_0'\hat{\mathbf{y}}) e^{i[(\omega/c)z - \omega t]}.$$

e)  $\nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\partial \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) / \partial t \rightarrow (\omega/c)(E_0''\hat{\mathbf{x}} + iE_0'\hat{\mathbf{y}}) = i\omega \mu_0 (iH_0''\hat{\mathbf{x}} + H_0'\hat{\mathbf{y}})$

$$\rightarrow \sqrt{\mu_0 \epsilon_0} (E_0''\hat{\mathbf{x}} + iE_0'\hat{\mathbf{y}}) = \mu_0 (-H_0''\hat{\mathbf{x}} + iH_0'\hat{\mathbf{y}}) \rightarrow H_0' = E_0' / Z_0 \text{ and } H_0'' = -E_0'' / Z_0.$$

$$\rightarrow \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, t) = (iH_0''\hat{\mathbf{x}} + H_0'\hat{\mathbf{y}}) e^{i[(\omega/c)z - \omega t]} = Z_0^{-1} (-iE_0''\hat{\mathbf{x}} + E_0'\hat{\mathbf{y}}) e^{i[(\omega/c)z - \omega t]}.$$

This expression for the  $H$ -field is seen to be in complete agreement with that obtained in part (d).

f)  $\text{Re}[\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)] = \text{Re}\{(E_0'\hat{\mathbf{x}} + iE_0''\hat{\mathbf{y}})\{\cos[(\omega/c)z - \omega t] + i \sin[(\omega/c)z - \omega t]\}\}$

$$= E_0'\hat{\mathbf{x}} \cos[(\omega/c)z - \omega t] - E_0''\hat{\mathbf{y}} \sin[(\omega/c)z - \omega t].$$

$$\text{Re}[\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, t)] = \text{Re}\{Z_0^{-1}(-iE_0''\hat{\mathbf{x}} + E_0'\hat{\mathbf{y}})\{\cos[(\omega/c)z - \omega t] + i \sin[(\omega/c)z - \omega t]\}\}$$

$$= Z_0^{-1} E_0''\hat{\mathbf{x}} \sin[(\omega/c)z - \omega t] + Z_0^{-1} E_0'\hat{\mathbf{y}} \cos[(\omega/c)z - \omega t].$$

$$\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \text{Re}[\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)] \times \text{Re}[\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, t)] = \{E_0'\hat{\mathbf{x}} \cos[(\omega/c)z - \omega t] - E_0''\hat{\mathbf{y}} \sin[(\omega/c)z - \omega t]\}$$

$$\times Z_0^{-1} \{E_0''\hat{\mathbf{x}} \sin[(\omega/c)z - \omega t] + E_0'\hat{\mathbf{y}} \cos[(\omega/c)z - \omega t]\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= Z_0^{-1}\{E_0'^2 \cos^2[(\omega/c)z - \omega t] + E_0''^2 \sin^2[(\omega/c)z - \omega t]\}\hat{\mathbf{z}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}Z_0^{-1}\{(E_0'^2 + E_0''^2) + (E_0'^2 - E_0''^2) \cos[2(\omega/c)z - 2\omega t]\}\hat{\mathbf{z}}.
\end{aligned}$$

For linearly-polarized light, either  $E_0' = 0$  or  $E_0'' = 0$ . The Poynting vector will then have a constant (time-averaged) value  $\langle \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle = (E_0'^2/2Z_0)\hat{\mathbf{z}}$  or  $(E_0''^2/2Z_0)\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ , in addition to a term that varies with  $(z, t)$  as  $\cos[2(\omega/c)z - 2\omega t]$ . The energy flow rate is always in the direction of  $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ , although it oscillates (as a function of time  $t$  at any given  $z$ , or as a function of  $z$  at any given time) between zero and a maximum value, the maximum being twice the average flow rate.

For circularly-polarized light,  $E_0'' = \pm E_0'$  and, therefore,  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r}, t) = (E_0'^2/Z_0)\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ , which is a constant along the direction of  $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ , independent of  $\mathbf{r}$  and  $t$ .

**Problem 2)** a) Using trigonometric identities, we simplify the expressions of the  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{H}$  fields, as follows:

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = 2E_0\hat{\mathbf{x}} \sin(\omega z/c) \sin(\omega t),$$

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, t) = 2(E_0/Z_0)\hat{\mathbf{y}} \cos(\omega z/c) \cos(\omega t).$$

At  $z = 0$ , we have  $\sin(\omega z/c) = 0$  and, therefore,  $\mathbf{E}(x, y, z = 0, t) = 0$ . Similarly, at  $z = L$ , we have  $\sin(\omega z/c) = \sin(\omega L/c) = \sin(n\pi) = 0$ ; consequently,  $\mathbf{E}(x, y, z = L, t) = 0$ . Thus, the overall  $E$ -field is seen to vanish at both  $z = 0$  and  $z = L$ . This is in agreement with the Maxwell boundary condition that requires the continuity of the tangential  $E$ -field at the mirror's surface, where the  $E$ -field immediately inside the conductor is zero. As for the magnetic field, we find

$$\mathbf{H}(x, y, z = 0, t) = 2(E_0/Z_0)\hat{\mathbf{y}} \cos(\omega t).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{H}(x, y, z = L, t) &= 2(E_0/Z_0)\hat{\mathbf{y}} \cos(\omega L/c) \cos(\omega t) = 2(E_0/Z_0)\hat{\mathbf{y}} \cos(n\pi) \cos(\omega t) \\
&= 2(-1)^n(E_0/Z_0)\hat{\mathbf{y}} \cos(\omega t).
\end{aligned}$$

Considering that the  $H$ -field inside the conductors is zero, Maxwell's boundary condition requires the existence of a surface current-density  $\mathbf{J}_s(x, y, z = 0, t) = -2(E_0/Z_0)\hat{\mathbf{x}} \cos(\omega t)$  at the front facet of the mirror on the left-hand side. Similarly, the surface current-density at the inner surface of the mirror on the right must be  $\mathbf{J}_s(x, y, z = L, t) = 2(-1)^n(E_0/Z_0)\hat{\mathbf{x}} \cos(\omega t)$ .

The perpendicular components of  $\mathbf{D} = \epsilon_0\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{B} = \mu_0\mathbf{H}$  are zero everywhere inside the cavity and also within the conducting mirrors. The continuity of  $\mathbf{D}_\perp$  at the inner surface of each mirror shows that no surface charge-density exists at the surface. Finally, the continuity of  $\mathbf{B}_\perp$  confirms the satisfaction of the relevant boundary condition at each mirror's inner surface.

b) Recalling that the effective  $H$ -field acting on the surface currents is the *average* of the  $H$ -fields immediately in front and behind each sheet of surface current, the Lorentz force (per unit area) on the mirrors is given by

$$\boxed{\mathbf{B} = \mu_0\mathbf{H}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F}(x, y, z = 0, t) &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{J}_s(x, y, z = 0, t) \times \mathbf{B}(x, y, z = 0, t) \\
&= -(E_0/Z_0)\hat{\mathbf{x}} \cos(\omega t) \times 2\mu_0(E_0/Z_0)\hat{\mathbf{y}} \cos(\omega t) = -2\epsilon_0 E_0^2 \cos^2(\omega t) \hat{\mathbf{z}}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{F}(x, y, z = L, t) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{J}_s(x, y, z = L, t) \times \mathbf{B}(x, y, z = L, t) = 2\epsilon_0 E_0^2 \cos^2(\omega t) \hat{\mathbf{z}}.$$

Given that  $\langle \cos^2(\omega t) \rangle = \frac{1}{2}$ , the time-averaged Lorentz force (per unit area) acting on each mirror's surface is found to be  $\epsilon_0 E_0^2$ , in a direction that tends to push the mirrors apart.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{c) } \quad \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0 \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{2}\mu_0 \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H} \\
&= 2\epsilon_0 E_0^2 \sin^2(\omega z/c) \sin^2(\omega t) + 2\mu_0 (E_0/Z_0)^2 \cos^2(\omega z/c) \cos^2(\omega t) \\
&= 2\epsilon_0 E_0^2 [\sin^2(\omega z/c) \sin^2(\omega t) + \cos^2(\omega z/c) \cos^2(\omega t)].
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above energy-density from  $z = 0$  to  $z = L$  now yields the stored EM energy (per unit cross-sectional area) within the cavity. Note that

$$\int_{z=0}^L \sin^2(\omega z/c) dz = (c/\omega) \int_{\zeta=0}^{\omega L/c} \sin^2(\zeta) d\zeta = (c/\omega) \int_{\zeta=0}^{n\pi} \sin^2(\zeta) d\zeta = n\pi c/(2\omega) = \frac{1}{2}L.$$

Similarly,  $\int_{z=0}^L \cos^2(\omega z/c) dz = \frac{1}{2}L$ . We thus find

$$\text{Energy per unit area} = \int_{z=0}^L \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) dz = 2\epsilon_0 E_0^2 [\frac{1}{2}L \sin^2(\omega t) + \frac{1}{2}L \cos^2(\omega t)] = \epsilon_0 E_0^2 L.$$

d) The mechanical work done by the radiation on the mirror located at  $z = L$  when the mirror moves to  $z = L + \Delta L$  equals the radiation force times the displacement; that is,

$$\text{Work done per unit area of the mirror} = \epsilon_0 E_0^2 \Delta L.$$

In the process, the field amplitudes change to  $E_0 + \Delta E_0$  and  $H_0 + \Delta H_0$  (with  $\Delta H_0 = \Delta E_0/Z_0$ ), so that the overall change in the energy stored within the cavity (per unit cross-sectional area) is

$$\Delta(\text{Energy}) = \Delta(\epsilon_0 E_0^2 L) = \epsilon_0 (2E_0 \Delta E_0) L + \epsilon_0 E_0^2 \Delta L. \quad \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{The following identity is being used here:} \\ d[f^2(x)g(x)]/dx = 2f(x)f'(x)g(x) + f^2(x)g'(x). \end{array}$$

This change in energy must be equal in magnitude and opposite in sign to the work done on the system by the radiation pressure; that is,

$$\epsilon_0 (2E_0 \Delta E_0) L + \epsilon_0 E_0^2 \Delta L = -\epsilon_0 E_0^2 \Delta L \quad \rightarrow \quad \Delta E_0/E_0 = -\Delta L/L.$$

The fractional decline in the  $E$ -field amplitude (and, similarly, in the  $H$ -field amplitude) is seen to equal the fractional increase in the length  $L$  of the cavity. The same is true of the stored energy; that is,

$$\frac{\Delta(\text{Energy})}{\text{Energy}} = -\frac{\epsilon_0 E_0^2 \Delta L}{\epsilon_0 E_0^2 L} = -\frac{\Delta L}{L}.$$

**Digression:** If we assume that the number  $n$  of the nodes inside the cavity (i.e., the number of zero-crossings of the  $E$  and  $H$  fields) remain unchanged during the expansion, we will have

$$L = n\lambda/2 \quad \rightarrow \quad \Delta\lambda/\lambda = \Delta L/L.$$

Given that  $\lambda = 2\pi c/\omega$ , we now have  $\Delta\lambda = -2\pi c\Delta\omega/\omega^2 = -\lambda(\Delta\omega/\omega)$  and, therefore,  $\Delta\lambda/\lambda = -\Delta\omega/\omega$ . Thus, the fractional change in the stored energy equals the fractional change in the frequency of the EM radiation inside the cavity. This is consistent with the quantum-optical picture of photons residing in the cavity, each having an energy of  $\hbar\omega$ . The number of photons during the (slow) expansion of the cavity does not change, but their frequency declines, resulting in a reduced overall energy in proportion to the change in frequency (i.e., Doppler shift).

---