

Problem 1)

- a)
$$\rho_{\text{bound}}^{(m)}(\mathbf{r}) = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{\partial(r^2 M_r)}{r^2 \partial r} = -(M_0/R^2) \frac{d(r^4 e^{-r/R})}{r^2 dr}$$

$$= -(M_0/R^2) \frac{4r^3 e^{-r/R} - (r^4/R)e^{-r/R}}{r^2} = (M_0/R^3)(r^2 - 4Rr)e^{-r/R} \quad [\text{weber/m}^3].$$
- b)
$$\mathbf{J}_{\text{bound}}^{(e)}(\mathbf{r}) = \mu_0^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}) = \mu_0^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial M_r}{\partial \phi} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \frac{\partial M_r}{r \partial \theta} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \right) = 0 \quad [\text{ampere/m}^2].$$

Note that $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r})$ is zero at the origin (i.e., at $r = 0$), rises to its maximum value at $r = 2R$, and from there declines to zero as $r \rightarrow \infty$. The corresponding magnetic charge-density $\rho_{\text{bound}}^{(m)}(\mathbf{r})$ is zero at $r = 0$, has a minimum at $r = (3 - \sqrt{5})R$, returns to zero at $r = 4R$, reaches its maximum value at $r = (3 + \sqrt{5})R$, then declines to zero as $r \rightarrow \infty$. In contrast, the bound electric current-density $\mathbf{J}_{\text{bound}}^{(e)}(\mathbf{r})$ is zero everywhere, as the various microscopic loops of current cancel each other out.

Problem 2) a) mass-density $\zeta = m_0/\text{volume} \cong m_0/(2\pi R h \delta)$ [kg/m³].

b) Total charge $Q = \text{volume} \times \text{charge-density} \cong (2\pi R h \delta) \rho$ [coulomb].

c) Given that the linear mechanical momentum of a small volume element dv of the ring is $\mathbf{p} dv = (\zeta dv) R \omega \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$, we will have $\mathbf{L} = \int_{\text{ring}} (\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}) dv \cong \int_{\text{ring}} (R \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\parallel} \times \zeta R \omega \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}) dv = m_0 R^2 \omega \hat{\mathbf{z}}$. The units of \mathbf{L} are [kg · m²/sec]. (Note that, in general, $\mathbf{r} = R \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\parallel} + z \hat{\mathbf{z}}$. However, $\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} = -\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\parallel}$ integrates to zero, which is why it has been dropped from the preceding equation.)

d) $\mathbf{m} \cong \mu_0 (\rho R \omega) (h \delta) (\pi R^2) \hat{\mathbf{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \mu_0 Q R^2 \omega \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ [henry · ampere · meter = weber · meter].

current-density cross-section loop area

e) The magnetic dipole moment \mathbf{m} will be anti-parallel to the ring's angular momentum \mathbf{L} if the rotating charge Q happens to be negative.

Problem 3) a) (i) $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \rho_{\text{free}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, where $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \epsilon_0 \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r}, t)$.

The units of polarization \mathbf{P} are coulomb/m², with those of charge, coulomb, being ampere · sec.

The units of displacement \mathbf{D} are the same as those of \mathbf{P} , namely, ampere · sec/m².

The units of ρ_{free} are coulomb/m³, i.e., ampere · sec/m³.

The units of \mathbf{E} are volt/m. Invoking the Lorentz force law, $\mathbf{f} = q\mathbf{E}$, reveals the units of \mathbf{E} to be the same as those of force (kg · m/sec²) divided by the units of electrical charge (coulomb). Therefore, "volt" is kg · m²/(ampere · sec³).

The units of ϵ_0 are farad/m. For a capacitor having capacitance C , total (positive) charge Q , and voltage V , we have $Q = CV$, with the units of Q , C , and V being coulomb, farad, and volt, respectively. Consequently, farad = coulomb/volt, which makes the units of $\epsilon_0 \mathbf{E}$ equal to coulomb/m², consistent with those of \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{D} . Substitution for coulomb and volt now yields the units of ϵ_0 in terms of the fundamental MKSA units as ampere² · sec⁴/(kg · m³).

Digression. An alternative way of expressing farad in terms of the fundamental units is by way of the formula $\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0 E^2$ for the energy-density of the E -field, where the units of \mathcal{E} are joule/m³. Thus, $\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^2/(\text{sec}^2 \cdot \text{m}^3) = (\text{farad/m}) \cdot (\text{volt/m})^2$, which yields $\text{farad} = \text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^2/(\text{volt}^2 \cdot \text{sec}^2) = \text{ampere}^2 \cdot \text{sec}^4/(\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^2)$.

In Maxwell's first equation, the divergence operator divides the units of \mathbf{D} by the units of length, namely, meter. Thus, the left-hand side of the equation has units of coulomb/m³, in agreement with those of ρ_{free} on the right-hand side.

(ii)
$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{J}_{\text{free}}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \partial \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r}, t)/\partial t.$$

The units of \mathbf{J}_{free} are ampere/m², which are the same as those of $\partial \mathbf{D}/\partial t$, since \mathbf{D} has units of coulomb/m², which, upon differentiation with respect to time (i.e., division of the units by sec), become coulomb/(m² · sec) = ampere/m².

The units of \mathbf{H} are ampere/m. Considering that the curl operation ($\nabla \times$) involves differentiation with respect to spatial coordinates (x, y, z), which have the units of length (i.e., meter), the left-hand side of Maxwell's 2nd equation has units of ampere/m², in agreement with those of the right-hand side.

b) (iii)
$$\oint_{\text{closed loop}} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot d\boldsymbol{\ell} = -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\text{surface}} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot d\mathbf{s}, \text{ where } \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mu_0 \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}, t).$$

This integral form of Maxwell's 3rd equation is obtained from the corresponding differential form, namely, $\nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\partial \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t)/\partial t$, via the application of the Stokes theorem.

On the left-hand side of the equation, the units of \mathbf{E} are volt/m, which, upon multiplication by the units of $d\boldsymbol{\ell}$ (namely, meter) become volt = kg · m²/(ampere · sec³).

The units of \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{M} are weber/m². From the Lorentz force law $\mathbf{f} = q\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}$, we find that weber/m² = newton/(coulomb · m/sec), which yields weber = kg · m²/(ampere · sec²).

The units of μ_0 are henry/m. Considering that the speed of light in vacuum is $c = 1/\sqrt{\mu_0 \epsilon_0}$, we arrive at (henry/m) · (farad/m) = (sec/m)², yielding henry = sec²/farad = kg · m²/(ampere² · sec²). Multiplying the units of μ_0 (i.e., henry/m) into those of \mathbf{H} (i.e., ampere/m) now yields the units of $\mu_0 \mathbf{H}$ as kg/(ampere · sec²), consistent with the units of \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{M} (i.e., weber/m²).

Digression. An alternative method of expressing henry in terms of the fundamental MKSA units is by way of the relation $\Phi = LI$ between the magnetic flux Φ (whose units are weber), the inductance L (whose units are henry), and the electric current I (whose units are ampere). Thus, henry = weber/ampere = kg · m²/(ampere² · sec²).

One may also invoke the formula $\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2}\mu_0 H^2$ for the energy-density of the H -field, where the units of \mathcal{E} are joule/m³. Thus, $\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^2/(\text{sec}^2 \cdot \text{m}^3) = (\text{henry/m}) \cdot (\text{ampere/m})^2$, which yields henry = kg · m²/(ampere² · sec²).

On the right-hand side of the integral form of Maxwell's 3rd equation, the units of \mathbf{B} (i.e., weber/m²) must be multiplied into the units of the differential surface area $d\mathbf{s}$ (i.e., m²) to yield weber for the overall units of the integral. Differentiation with respect to time makes the units on the right-hand side of the equation equal to weber/sec = kg · m²/(ampere · sec³), which agree with the units on the left-hand side of the equation, namely, volt = kg · m²/(ampere · sec³).

iv)
$$\oint_{\text{closed surface}} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot d\mathbf{s} = 0, \text{ obtained from } \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0 \text{ via Gauss's theorem.}$$

The units of \mathbf{B} are weber/m². Upon integration over a closed surface S , the units of \mathbf{B} are multiplied by those of the infinitesimal surface area $d\mathbf{s}$, which has the units of area (i.e., m²). Thus, the units on the left-hand side of the integral form of Maxwell's 4th equation are weber.

Problem 4) a) The infinitesimal dipole moment formed between the positive and negative charges at y and $-y$ is $L\delta\rho(y)dy$ times the separation $2y$ between these charges. The direction of this dipole is along $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$. Integrating over y (from $y = 0$ to L) yields

$$\mathbf{p} = \left[\int_0^L 2yL\delta\rho(y)dy \right] \hat{\mathbf{y}} = 2L\delta \left[\int_0^L y\rho(y)dy \right] \hat{\mathbf{y}}. \quad (1)$$

b) For sufficiently small L , the polarization of the pair of squares is given by their dipole moment \mathbf{p} divided by the overall volume $2L^2\delta$ of the pair; that is,

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{p}/(2L^2\delta) = L^{-1} \left[\int_0^L y\rho(y)dy \right] \hat{\mathbf{y}}. \quad (2)$$

c) The magnitude of $\mathbf{P}(t)$ is the same as that of \mathbf{P} in Eq.(2), but its direction is now given by $\cos(\omega t)\hat{\mathbf{y}} - \sin(\omega t)\hat{\mathbf{x}}$. Therefore,

$$\mathbf{P}(t) = L^{-1} \left[\int_0^L y\rho(y)dy \right] [\cos(\omega t)\hat{\mathbf{y}} - \sin(\omega t)\hat{\mathbf{x}}]. \quad (3)$$

d) As shown in figure (1b), at a point (x, y, z, t) on the surface of the red square at a distance $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ from the z -axis, the velocity vector will be

$$\mathbf{v}(t) = r\omega[-\sin(\omega t + 90^\circ)\hat{\mathbf{x}} + \cos(\omega t + 90^\circ)\hat{\mathbf{y}}] = -r\omega[\cos(\omega t)\hat{\mathbf{x}} + \sin(\omega t)\hat{\mathbf{y}}]. \quad (4)$$

The current-density at this point is, therefore, $\mathbf{J}(t) = \rho(r)\mathbf{v}(t)$. As for the blue square, the velocity at the corresponding point (i.e., at a distance r from the z -axis at time t) is the negative of $\mathbf{v}(t)$ of Eq.(4); however, the charge-density is also the negative of that at the corresponding point on the red square. Consequently, the current-density will be the same on the faces of both squares. Thus, at a distance r from the z -axis at time t , the current-density on both squares is

$$\mathbf{J}(t) = \rho(r)\mathbf{v}(t) = -r\omega\rho(r)[\cos(\omega t)\hat{\mathbf{x}} + \sin(\omega t)\hat{\mathbf{y}}]. \quad (5)$$

e) The direction of the \mathbf{J} vector at time t is the same as that of the velocity vector $\mathbf{v}(t)$ given by Eq.(4); that is, $-\cos(\omega t)\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \sin(\omega t)\hat{\mathbf{y}}$. The current passing through the surface of the red square along the direction of $\mathbf{J}(t)$ is $I_{\text{red}}(t) = \int_{\text{red square}} \mathbf{J}(t) \cdot d\mathbf{s} = \int_{r=0}^L r\omega\rho(r)Ldr$. Doubling this current then yields the current passing through both (red and blue) squares, as follows:

$$I(t) = I_{\text{red}}(t) + I_{\text{blue}}(t) = 2L\omega \int_{r=0}^L r\rho(r)dr. \quad (6)$$

f) For sufficiently small L , we divide the total current $I(t)$ of Eq.(6) by the surface area $2L^2$ of the pair to obtain the magnitude $\bar{J}(t)$ of the average current-density. Multiplication by the unit-vector along the flow direction then yields

$$\bar{\mathbf{J}}(t) = \frac{I(t)}{2L^2} [-\cos(\omega t)\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \sin(\omega t)\hat{\mathbf{y}}] = -(\omega/L) \left[\int_{r=0}^L r\rho(r)dr \right] [\cos(\omega t)\hat{\mathbf{x}} + \sin(\omega t)\hat{\mathbf{y}}]. \quad (7)$$

g) Comparing Eqs.(3) and (7), we finally arrive at the desired identity, namely,

$$\mathbf{J}_{\text{bound}}^{(e)} = d\mathbf{P}(t)/dt = -(\omega/L) \left[\int_{y=0}^L y\rho(y)dy \right] [\sin(\omega t)\hat{\mathbf{y}} + \cos(\omega t)\hat{\mathbf{x}}] = \bar{\mathbf{J}}(t). \quad (8)$$
