

## Spring 2013 Opti 501

### Solutions to Written Comprehensive Exam Problems

**Solution to Problem 1)** a) The  $E$ -field energy-density is  $\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0|\mathbf{E}|^2$ . Since the  $E$ -field oscillates with frequency  $\omega_0$ , time-averaging yields the average  $E$ -field energy-density as  $\frac{1}{4}\epsilon_0E_0^2$ . Multiplying this into the volume  $cTA$  of the pulse, we obtain the  $E$ -field energy of the pulse as  $\frac{1}{4}\epsilon_0cTAE_0^2$ . Similarly, the amplitude of the  $H$ -field of the light is  $H_0=E_0/Z_0$ . Since the time-averaged magnetic energy density in vacuum is given by  $\frac{1}{4}\mu_0H_0^2 = \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_0E_0^2$ , the magnetic energy of the pulse is equal to its electric energy. The total energy is thus given by  $\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0cTAE_0^2$ .

Alternatively, we may compute the time-averaged Poynting vector as follows:

$$\langle \mathbf{S} \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\text{Re}(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}^*) = \frac{1}{2}E_0H_0\hat{\mathbf{z}} = [E_0^2/(2Z_0)]\hat{\mathbf{z}}.$$

This is the rate of flow of energy per unit area per unit time at any given cross-section of the light pulse. Multiplication with  $A$  and  $T$  then yields the total energy of the pulse as  $ATE_0^2/(2Z_0)$ . Considering that  $\epsilon_0c=1/Z_0$ , the two expressions obtained above for the total pulse energy are exactly the same.

b) The reflected pulse has the same frequency  $\omega_0$  and the same wavelength  $\lambda_0=2\pi c/\omega_0$  as the incident pulse. Its polarization state is also linear and in the same direction as the incident polarization. The pulse duration and cross-sectional area remain  $T$  and  $A$ , respectively. The only things that change are the field amplitudes  $E_0$  and  $H_0$ , which are multiplied by the Fresnel reflection coefficient  $\rho=(1-n)/(1+n)$ . The reflected pulse energy is therefore given by  $\rho^2$  times the incident pulse energy, that is,  $(1-n)^2ATE_0^2/[2Z_0(1+n)^2]$ .

c) The Fresnel transmission coefficient at the entrance facet of the glass slab is  $\tau=1+\rho=2/(1+n)$ . This means that the  $E$ -field amplitude inside the glass slab is  $2E_0/(1+n)$ . The  $H$ -field amplitude is  $n$  times the  $E$ -field amplitude divided by  $Z_0$ , that is,  $H_0=2nE_0/[Z_0(1+n)]$ . Therefore, the  $z$ -component of the Poynting vector inside the slab is  $\langle S_z \rangle = 2nE_0^2/[Z_0(1+n)^2]$ . Since the pulse duration  $T$  and the cross-sectional area  $A$  inside the slab remain the same as outside, the total energy of the transmitted pulse is  $2nATE_0^2/[Z_0(1+n)^2]$ . Other properties of the transmitted pulse are: frequency= $\omega_0$ , wavelength  $\lambda=\lambda_0/n$ , pulse length= $cT/n$ , polarization state = linear and in the same direction as the incident pulse.

Alternatively, one may evaluate the energy densities of the  $E$  and  $H$  fields separately, then add them together. We find

$$\text{Time-averaged } E\text{-field energy density} = \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_0\epsilon(\tau E_0)^2 = \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_0n^2[2E_0/(1+n)]^2 = \epsilon_0n^2E_0^2/(1+n)^2.$$

$$\text{Time-averaged } H\text{-field energy density} = \frac{1}{4}\mu_0(n\tau E_0/Z_0)^2 = \frac{1}{4}\mu_0\{2nE_0/[Z_0(1+n)]\}^2 = \epsilon_0n^2E_0^2/(1+n)^2.$$

Adding the above energy densities, then multiplying by the pulse volume  $cAT/n$  yields the same result as before, namely, transmitted pulse energy =  $2\epsilon_0cnATE_0^2/(1+n)^2$ .

d) Reflected plus transmitted pulse energy =

$$(1-n)^2 ATE_0^2/[2Z_0(1+n)^2] + 2nATE_0^2/[Z_0(1+n)^2] = ATE_0^2/(2Z_0).$$

This is the same as the incident pulse energy; therefore, energy is conserved.

---

**Solution to Problem 2)** a) There are four sources in classical electrodynamics that are generally associated with material media and described as continuous functions of the space-time coordinates,  $\mathbf{r}$  and  $t$ . These are: (i) free charge density  $\rho_{\text{free}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ , (ii) free current density  $\mathbf{J}_{\text{free}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ , (iii) polarization  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ , and magnetization  $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ . While  $\rho_{\text{free}}$  is a scalar entity, the other three sources are vectorial in nature.  $\rho_{\text{free}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  is the electrical charge (e.g., that of electrons and protons) per unit volume at a given point in space-time.  $\mathbf{J}_{\text{free}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  is the electrical current density (i.e., charge crossing unit area per unit time) produced by the motion of free charges.  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  is the density of electric dipoles (i.e., dipole moment per unit volume), and  $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  is the density of magnetic dipoles at a given point in space-time.

b) There are four fields in the classical theory: (i) electric field  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ , (ii) electric displacement  $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ , (iii) magnetic field  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ , and (iv) magnetic induction  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ . The fields are generally described as continuous functions of the space-time coordinates.  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{H}$  may be thought of as pure fields, lacking some of the characteristics that one normally associates with material media, such as mass. In contrast,  $\mathbf{D}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  are composite fields defined by the identities  $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \epsilon_0 \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  and  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mu_0 \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ , where  $\epsilon_0$  and  $\mu_0$  are the permittivity and permeability of free space, respectively. All four fields are vectorial in nature.

c) Starting with Maxwell's 2<sup>nd</sup> equation, one applies the divergence operator to both sides of the equation to arrive at  $\nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{H}) = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_{\text{free}} + \nabla \cdot (\partial \mathbf{D} / \partial t)$ . Since the divergence of the curl of any vector field is always equal to zero, the left-hand-side of the above equation may be set to zero. On the right-hand side, the divergence operator goes inside the time-derivative operator to yield  $\partial (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}) / \partial t$ . From Maxwell's 1<sup>st</sup> equation we have  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \rho_{\text{free}}$ . Substitution into the preceding equation then yields  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_{\text{free}} + (\partial \rho_{\text{free}} / \partial t) = 0$ , which is the sought after continuity equation.

d) The polarization and magnetization appearing in Maxwell's equations may be replaced by equivalent bound-charge and bound-current densities. In Maxwell's 1<sup>st</sup> equation, substituting  $\epsilon_0 \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P}$  for  $\mathbf{D}$  and moving  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}$  to the right-hand-side yields  $\epsilon_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \rho_{\text{free}} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}$ . This indicates that the bound electric charge density associated with  $\mathbf{P}$  is  $\rho_{\text{bound}}^{(e)} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}$ . Similarly, one can substitute for  $\mathbf{H}$  and  $\mathbf{D}$  in Maxwell's 2<sup>nd</sup> equation in terms of  $\mathbf{B}$  and  $\mathbf{E}$  to arrive at  $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 [\mathbf{J}_{\text{free}} + (\partial \mathbf{P} / \partial t) + \mu_0^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{M}] + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 (\partial \mathbf{E} / \partial t)$ . The terms bundled together with  $\mathbf{J}_{\text{free}}$  on the right-hand-side of the preceding equation then represent the bound electric current density  $\mathbf{J}_{\text{bound}}^{(e)}$  associated with polarization ( $\partial \mathbf{P} / \partial t$ ), and with magnetization ( $\mu_0^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{M}$ ).

Alternatively, one may leave the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> equations intact, and modify the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> equations of Maxwell by substituting for  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  in terms of  $\mathbf{D}$  and  $\mathbf{H}$ . One will find

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{D} = -\epsilon_0 [(\partial \mathbf{M} / \partial t) - \epsilon_0^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{P}] - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 (\partial \mathbf{H} / \partial t),$$

$$\mu_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{H} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{M}.$$

The above equations reveal that the magnetization  $\mathbf{M}$  may be replaced by a bound magnetic charge-density  $\rho_{\text{bound}}^{(m)} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{M}$  and a bound magnetic current-density  $\mathbf{J}_{\text{bound}}^{(m)} = \partial \mathbf{M} / \partial t$ . Similarly, the polarization  $\mathbf{P}$  may be replaced by  $\mathbf{J}_{\text{bound}}^{(e)} = -\epsilon_0^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{P}$ .

e) In the case of electric bound charge and current densities we have

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_{\text{bound}}^{(e)} = \nabla \cdot (\partial \mathbf{P} / \partial t) + \mu_0^{-1} \nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{M}) = \partial (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}) / \partial t = -\partial \rho_{\text{bound}}^{(e)} / \partial t \quad \rightarrow \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_{\text{bound}}^{(e)} + \partial \rho_{\text{bound}}^{(e)} / \partial t = 0.$$

In the case of magnetic bound charge and current densities we have

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_{\text{bound}}^{(m)} = \nabla \cdot (\partial \mathbf{M} / \partial t) - \epsilon_0^{-1} \nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{P}) = \partial (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{M}) / \partial t = -\partial \rho_{\text{bound}}^{(m)} / \partial t \quad \rightarrow \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_{\text{bound}}^{(m)} + \partial \rho_{\text{bound}}^{(m)} / \partial t = 0.$$

Clearly, both systems of bound charge and current satisfy the charge-current continuity equation.

---