## Fall 2011 Written Comprehensive Exam

Opti 501

## System of units: MKSA

A monochromatic and homogeneous plane-wave of frequency $\omega_{0}$ arrives at an oblique angle $\theta$ at the interface between two dielectric media of refractive indices $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$, as shown. (As usual, you may set the relative permeabilities $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ of the two media equal to 1.0 . You may also assume that both $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ are real-valued, positive, and greater than or equal to unity.)

$(4$ pts) a) Write expressions for the $E$ - and $H$-fields of the incident, reflected, and transmitted beams.
$\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & \text { pts })\end{array} \quad\right.$ b) Derive the Fresnel reflection and transmission coefficients at the interface.
c) Under what circumstances will the reflection coefficient for the p-polarized beam vanish? You must base your argument on the expression obtained in part (b) for the Fresnel reflection coefficient.
(Hint: This is the case of Brewster's incidence.)
(2 pts) d) Based on the reflection coefficients derived in part (b), specify the conditions for total internal reflection of both $p$ - and $s$-polarized incident light at the interface.
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It is a well-known fact that, at normal incidence, the reflectivity of a transparent dielectric slab of refractive index $n$ and thickness $d=\lambda_{0} /(2 n)$ is precisely equal to zero; here $\lambda_{0}=2 \pi c / \omega_{0}$ is the vacuum wavelength of the incident beam, which, as shown in the figure, is a homogeneous, monochromatic plane-wave of frequency $\omega_{0}$. (You may assume that the incident beam is linearly polarized along the $x$-axis.)

$(4 \mathrm{pt}) \quad$ a) Using the aforementioned fact, determine the $E$ - and $H$-fields of the forward- and backwardpropagating plane-waves inside the slab, as well as the $E$ - and $H$-fields of the transmitted beam.
$(4 \mathrm{pt}) \quad$ b) Determine the time-averaged Poynting vector inside the slab, and show that the rate of flow of optical energy per unit cross-sectional area inside the slab is the same as that of the incident beam, and also the same as that of the transmitted beam.
$(2 \mathrm{pts}) \quad$ c) In what ways will the results obtained in parts (a) and (b) change, if the thickness $d$ of the slab happens to be an integer-multiple of $\lambda_{0} /(2 n)$, that is, if $d=m \lambda_{0} /(2 n)$, where $m \neq 1$ is an arbitrary integer?

