

**Problem 1)** The principle behind the method of *integration-by-parts* is that, since for any pair of differentiable functions, say,  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$ , one can write  $[f(x)g(x)]' = f'(x)g(x) + f(x)g'(x)$ , the definite integral of  $f'(x)g(x)$  over the interval  $[a, b]$  can be written as

$$\int_a^b f'(x)g(x)dx = f(x)g(x)|_a^b - \int_a^b f(x)g'(x)dx = [f(b)g(b) - f(a)g(a)] - \int_a^b f(x)g'(x)dx. \quad (1)$$

Application of the method of integration-by-parts to the functions  $f(x)$  and  $\delta'(x - x_0)$  thus yields

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)\delta'(x - x_0)dx = f(x)\delta(x - x_0)|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(x)\delta(x - x_0)dx. \quad (2)$$

Now, assuming that  $f(x)\delta(x - x_0)$  approaches zero when  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ , we can invoke the sifting property of  $\delta(x - x_0)$  to simplify Eq.(2), namely,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)\delta'(x - x_0)dx = -f'(x_0). \quad (3)$$

Equation (3) is the general expression of the sifting property of the first derivative  $\delta'(x)$  of Dirac's delta-function  $\delta(x)$ .

**Digression:** The assumption that  $f(x)\delta(x - x_0)$  approaches zero when  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$  is obviously valid if  $f(x) \rightarrow 0$  when  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$  (which is usually the case for functions of practical interest), or if the delta-function is defined as  $\delta(x) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \alpha g(\alpha x)$ , with  $g(x)$  being a *finite-width* function of  $x$  (that is even and has unit area as well), such as  $g(x) = \text{Rect}(x)$  or  $g(x) = \text{Tri}(x)$ . In such cases, the width of  $g(x)$  is a positive real number  $w$ , such that  $g(x) = 0$  for  $|x| > w/2$ . The width of  $\alpha g(\alpha x)$  will then be  $w/\alpha$  and, clearly,  $\alpha g[\alpha(x - x_0)]f(x)$  is precisely zero when  $x > x_0 + (w/2\alpha)$  or  $x < x_0 - (w/2\alpha)$ , irrespective of how large the value of the parameter  $\alpha$  may be.

One has to be more careful with the assumption  $f(x)\delta(x - x_0) \rightarrow 0$  when  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ , if  $f(x)$  fails to approach zero when  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ , and the function  $g(x)$ , chosen to represent  $\delta(x)$ , happens to have an *infinite extent*, e.g., when  $\delta(x) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \alpha \text{sinc}(\alpha x)$  or  $\delta(x) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \alpha \exp(-\pi\alpha^2 x^2)$ . Under such circumstances, one might want to focus attention on a large but finite range for the integration, say,  $\int_{-L}^L f(x)\delta'(x - x_0)dx$ , with  $L$  being a large positive number. The relevant entities appearing on the right-hand-side of Eq.(2) will then be  $\alpha g[\alpha(\pm L - x_0)]f(\pm L)$ , which must become negligible for sufficiently large  $\alpha$ , with the choice of  $\alpha$  dictated by the chosen value of  $L$ .

---

**Problem 2)**

a)  $\rho(\mathbf{r}, t) = \rho_0 [\text{Rect}(x/L_x)\text{Rect}(y/L_y) - \text{Circ}(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}/R)]\text{Rect}(z/L_z).$

b)  $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}, t) = M_0 [2\text{Rect}(x/L)\text{Rect}(y/L) - \text{Circ}(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}/R)]\text{Rect}(z/h) \cos(\omega_0 t) \hat{\mathbf{z}}.$

---

**Problem 3)** a) The current-density distribution may be written as a superposition of plane-waves, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{J}_1[\exp(i\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r}) + \exp(-i\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r})][\exp(i\omega_0 t) + \exp(-i\omega_0 t)] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{J}_2[\exp(i\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r}) - \exp(-i\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r})][\exp(i\omega_0 t) - \exp(-i\omega_0 t)] \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(\mathbf{J}_1 + \mathbf{J}_2)\{\exp[i(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_0 t)] + \exp[-i(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_0 t)]\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4}(\mathbf{J}_1 - \mathbf{J}_2)\{\exp[i(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} + \omega_0 t)] + \exp[-i(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} + \omega_0 t)]\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

From the above equation, the Fourier transform of  $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  is readily seen to be

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = & \frac{1}{4}(2\pi)^4(\mathbf{J}_1 + \mathbf{J}_2)[\delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0)\delta(\omega - \omega_0) + \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}_0)\delta(\omega + \omega_0)] \\ & + \frac{1}{4}(2\pi)^4(\mathbf{J}_1 - \mathbf{J}_2)[\delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0)\delta(\omega + \omega_0) + \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}_0)\delta(\omega - \omega_0)]. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

b) The charge-current continuity equation,  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} + \partial\rho/\partial t = 0$ , yields  $\rho(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\int \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}, t)dt$  and  $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \omega\rho(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}, t) = & \frac{1}{4}i\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot (\mathbf{J}_1 + \mathbf{J}_2)\{\exp[i(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_0 t)] - \exp[-i(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_0 t)]\} \\ & + \frac{1}{4}i\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot (\mathbf{J}_1 - \mathbf{J}_2)\{\exp[i(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} + \omega_0 t)] - \exp[-i(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} + \omega_0 t)]\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\mathbf{r}, t) = & \frac{1}{4}\omega_0^{-1}\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot (\mathbf{J}_1 + \mathbf{J}_2)\{\exp[i(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_0 t)] + \exp[-i(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_0 t)]\} \\ & - \frac{1}{4}\omega_0^{-1}\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot (\mathbf{J}_1 - \mathbf{J}_2)\{\exp[i(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} + \omega_0 t)] + \exp[-i(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} + \omega_0 t)]\} \\ = & \frac{1}{2}\omega_0^{-1}\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot [(\mathbf{J}_1 + \mathbf{J}_2) \cos(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_0 t) - (\mathbf{J}_1 - \mathbf{J}_2) \cos(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} + \omega_0 t)]. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = & \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)/\omega \\ = & \frac{1}{4}(2\pi)^4\omega_0^{-1}\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot (\mathbf{J}_1 + \mathbf{J}_2)[\delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0)\delta(\omega - \omega_0) + \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}_0)\delta(\omega + \omega_0)] \\ & - \frac{1}{4}(2\pi)^4\omega_0^{-1}\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot (\mathbf{J}_1 - \mathbf{J}_2)[\delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0)\delta(\omega + \omega_0) + \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}_0)\delta(\omega - \omega_0)]. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

c) Given that  $k^2 - (\omega/c)^2$  is the same for all four Fourier components, all that is needed to find the potentials is dividing the charge and current densities by  $k_0^2 - (\omega_0/c)^2$ . We will have

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\varepsilon_0[k_0^2 - (\omega_0/c)^2]}. \quad (6)$$

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\mu_0 \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}, t)}{k_0^2 - (\omega_0/c)^2}. \quad (7)$$

d) The electric and magnetic fields are now obtained using the standard formulas, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = & -\nabla\psi(\mathbf{r}, t) - \frac{\partial\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t} \\ = & \frac{1}{k_0^2 - (\omega_0/c)^2} \{-\frac{1}{4}i\varepsilon_0^{-1}\omega_0^{-1}[(\mathbf{J}_1 + \mathbf{J}_2) \cdot \mathbf{k}_0]\mathbf{k}_0\{\exp[i(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_0 t)] - \exp[-i(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_0 t)]\} \\ & + \frac{1}{4}i\varepsilon_0^{-1}\omega_0^{-1}[(\mathbf{J}_1 - \mathbf{J}_2) \cdot \mathbf{k}_0]\mathbf{k}_0\{\exp[i(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} + \omega_0 t)] - \exp[-i(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} + \omega_0 t)]\} \\ & + \frac{1}{4}i\mu_0\omega_0(\mathbf{J}_1 + \mathbf{J}_2)\{\exp[i(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_0 t)] - \exp[-i(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_0 t)]\} \\ & - \frac{1}{4}i\mu_0\omega_0(\mathbf{J}_1 - \mathbf{J}_2)\{\exp[i(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} + \omega_0 t)] - \exp[-i(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} + \omega_0 t)]\}\} \\ = & \frac{[(\mathbf{J}_1 + \mathbf{J}_2) \cdot \mathbf{k}_0 \sin(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_0 t) - (\mathbf{J}_1 - \mathbf{J}_2) \cdot \mathbf{k}_0 \sin(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} + \omega_0 t)]\mathbf{k}_0}{2\varepsilon_0\omega_0[k_0^2 - (\omega_0/c)^2]} \\ & - \frac{\mu_0\omega_0[(\mathbf{J}_1 + \mathbf{J}_2) \sin(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_0 t) - (\mathbf{J}_1 - \mathbf{J}_2) \sin(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} + \omega_0 t)]}{2[k_0^2 - (\omega_0/c)^2]}. \\ \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = & \frac{\{[(\mathbf{J}_1 + \mathbf{J}_2) \cdot \mathbf{k}_0]\mathbf{k}_0 - (\omega_0/c)^2(\mathbf{J}_1 + \mathbf{J}_2)\} \sin(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_0 t) - \{[(\mathbf{J}_1 - \mathbf{J}_2) \cdot \mathbf{k}_0]\mathbf{k}_0 - (\omega_0/c)^2(\mathbf{J}_1 - \mathbf{J}_2)\} \sin(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} + \omega_0 t)}{2\varepsilon_0\omega_0[k_0^2 - (\omega_0/c)^2]}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \nabla \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\mu_0 \nabla \times \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}, t)}{k_0^2 - (\omega_0/c)^2} \\
&= \frac{i\mu_0 \mathbf{k}_0}{4[k_0^2 - (\omega_0/c)^2]} \times \{(\mathbf{J}_1 + \mathbf{J}_2)\{\exp[i(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_0 t)] - \exp[-i(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_0 t)]\} \\
&\quad + (\mathbf{J}_1 - \mathbf{J}_2)\{\exp[i(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} + \omega_0 t)] - \exp[-i(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} + \omega_0 t)]\}\} \\
\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \frac{\mu_0 [(\mathbf{J}_1 + \mathbf{J}_2) \sin(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_0 t) + (\mathbf{J}_1 - \mathbf{J}_2) \sin(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} + \omega_0 t)] \times \mathbf{k}_0}{2[k_0^2 - (\omega_0/c)^2]} . \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to verify that the above expressions for  $\rho$ ,  $\mathbf{J}$ ,  $\mathbf{E}$ , and  $\mathbf{B}$  satisfy all four of Maxwell's equations.

---