**Problem 7.75**) a) In the free-space region, the incident *k*-vector is  $\mathbf{k}^{(i)} = (\omega/c)(\sin\theta \,\hat{\mathbf{x}} + \cos\theta \,\hat{\mathbf{z}})$ . The *E* and *H* fields may be written in terms of  $\mathbf{k}^{(i)}, \omega$ , and the *E*-field amplitude  $E_0$ , as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{E}^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{r},t) = \operatorname{Re}\left\{E_{0}(\cos\theta\,\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} - \sin\theta\,\hat{\boldsymbol{z}})\exp\left[i(\boldsymbol{k}^{(i)}\cdot\boldsymbol{r} - \omega t)\right]\right\},\$$
$$\boldsymbol{H}^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{r},t) = \operatorname{Re}\left\{Z_{0}^{-1}E_{0}\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}\exp\left[i(\boldsymbol{k}^{(i)}\cdot\boldsymbol{r} - \omega t)\right]\right\}.$$

b) For the reflected beam, the k-vector is  $\mathbf{k}^{(r)} = (\omega/c)(\sin\theta\,\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \cos\theta\,\hat{\mathbf{z}})$ , and the *E* and *H* fields, expressed as functions of  $\mathbf{k}^{(r)}, \omega$ , the Fresnel reflection coefficient  $\rho_p$ , and the incident *E*-field amplitude  $E_0$ , are

$$\boldsymbol{E}^{(\mathrm{r})}(\boldsymbol{r},t) = \mathrm{Re}\{\rho_p E_0(\cos\theta\,\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} + \sin\theta\,\hat{\boldsymbol{z}})\exp[\mathrm{i}(\boldsymbol{k}^{(\mathrm{r})}\cdot\boldsymbol{r} - \omega t)]\},\\ \boldsymbol{H}^{(\mathrm{r})}(\boldsymbol{r},t) = -\mathrm{Re}\{Z_0^{-1}\rho_p E_0\,\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}\exp[\mathrm{i}(\boldsymbol{k}^{(\mathrm{r})}\cdot\boldsymbol{r} - \omega t)]\}.$$

c) For the transmitted beam, the *k*-vector is  $\mathbf{k}^{(t)} = (\omega/c) [\sin \theta \,\hat{\mathbf{x}} + \sqrt{\varepsilon(\omega) - \sin^2 \theta} \,\hat{\mathbf{z}}]$ . This is derived from the continuity of  $k_x$  across the interface, and from the dispersion relation of the plasma, namely,  $k_x^2 + k_z^2 = (\omega/c)^2 \mu(\omega) \varepsilon(\omega)$ . The *E* and *H* fields, written in terms of  $\mathbf{k}^{(t)}$ ,  $\omega$ , the Fresnel transmission coefficient  $\tau_p$ , and the incident *E*-field amplitude  $E_0$ , are

$$\boldsymbol{E}^{(t)}(\boldsymbol{r},t) = \operatorname{Re}\left\{\tau_{p}E_{0}\cos\theta\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}} - \frac{\sin\theta}{\sqrt{\varepsilon(\omega) - \sin^{2}\theta}}\widehat{\boldsymbol{z}}\right)\exp\left[\operatorname{i}(\boldsymbol{k}^{(t)}\cdot\boldsymbol{r} - \omega t)\right]\right\}$$
$$\boldsymbol{H}^{(t)}(\boldsymbol{r},t) = \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\tau_{p}\varepsilon(\omega)E_{0}\cos\theta}{Z_{0}\sqrt{\varepsilon(\omega) - \sin^{2}\theta}}\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}}\exp\left[\operatorname{i}(\boldsymbol{k}^{(t)}\cdot\boldsymbol{r} - \omega t)\right]\right\}.$$

In deriving the above expressions, we used the constraints imposed by Maxwell's 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> equations, namely,  $\mathbf{k}^{(t)} \cdot \mathbf{E}^{(t)} = k_x^{(t)} E_x^{(t)} + k_z^{(t)} E_z^{(t)} = 0$  and  $\mathbf{k}^{(t)} \times \mathbf{E}^{(t)} = \mu_0 \mu(\omega) \omega \mathbf{H}^{(t)}$ .

d) The tangential components  $E_x^{(i)}$ ,  $E_x^{(r)}$ ,  $E_x^{(t)}$  of the *E*-field must satisfy the continuity condition at the interface, as do the tangential components  $H_y^{(i)}$ ,  $H_y^{(r)}$ ,  $H_y^{(t)}$  of the *H*-field. Therefore,

 $\boldsymbol{E}_{\parallel} \text{ continuity:} \quad \boldsymbol{E}_{0} \cos \theta + \rho_{p} \boldsymbol{E}_{0} \cos \theta = \tau_{p} \boldsymbol{E}_{0} \cos \theta \quad \rightarrow \quad 1 + \rho_{p} = \tau_{p}.$ 

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{\parallel} \text{ continuity: } \boldsymbol{Z}_{0}^{-1}\boldsymbol{E}_{0} - \boldsymbol{Z}_{0}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{p}\boldsymbol{E}_{0} = \frac{\tau_{p}\varepsilon(\omega)\boldsymbol{E}_{0}\cos\theta}{\boldsymbol{Z}_{0}\sqrt{\varepsilon(\omega)-\sin^{2}\theta}} \rightarrow 1 - \boldsymbol{\rho}_{p} = \frac{\tau_{p}\varepsilon(\omega)\cos\theta}{\sqrt{\varepsilon(\omega)-\sin^{2}\theta}}$$

Solving the above equations, we find  $\rho_p = \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon(\omega) - \sin^2 \theta} - \varepsilon(\omega) \cos \theta}{\sqrt{\varepsilon(\omega) - \sin^2 \theta} + \varepsilon(\omega) \cos \theta}$  and  $\tau_p = \frac{2\sqrt{\varepsilon(\omega) - \sin^2 \theta}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon(\omega) - \sin^2 \theta} + \varepsilon(\omega) \cos \theta}$ .

e) Since  $\varepsilon(\omega)$  is real-valued and negative,  $\rho_p$  may be written as follows:

$$\rho_p = \frac{i\sqrt{|\varepsilon(\omega)| + \sin^2\theta} + |\varepsilon(\omega)|\cos\theta}{i\sqrt{|\varepsilon(\omega)| + \sin^2\theta} - |\varepsilon(\omega)|\cos\theta}$$

Thus  $\rho_p$  is seen to be the ratio of a complex number to its conjugate, which has a magnitude of 1. Since  $|\rho_p| = 1$ , the reflectivity is 100%. This does not contradict the existence of electromagnetic waves within the plasma, because the time-averaged Poynting vector of the plane-wave inside the plasma, like that of an evanescent wave, has a vanishing z-component.