

**Problem 7.58)** a) Dispersion relation:

$$k^2 = k_x^2 + k_z^2 = (\omega/c)^2 \mu_a(\omega) \varepsilon_a(\omega) \rightarrow k_z^{(i)} = \pm (\omega/c) \sqrt{\varepsilon_a(\omega) - (ck_x/\omega)^2}. \quad (1)$$

Since the incident wave is assumed to be evanescent, its  $k_z$  must be imaginary, and since it must decay away from the interface, only the plus sign will be acceptable. Therefore,

$$k_z^{(i)} = i(\omega/c) \sqrt{(ck_x/\omega)^2 - \varepsilon_a(\omega)}. \quad (2)$$

Maxwell's first equation,  $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{E}_0 = 0$ , relates  $E_{z0}$  to  $E_{x0}$ ,  $k_x$ , and  $k_z$ , as follows:

$$k_x E_{x0}^{(i)} + k_z^{(i)} E_{z0}^{(i)} = 0 \rightarrow E_{z0}^{(i)} = -k_x E_{x0}^{(i)} / k_z^{(i)}. \quad (3)$$

Maxwell's third equation,  $\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{E}_0 = \omega \mu_0 \mu(\omega) \mathbf{H}_0$ , now yields the magnetic field, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_0^{(i)} &= \frac{(k_x \hat{\mathbf{x}} + k_z^{(i)} \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \times (E_{x0}^{(i)} \hat{\mathbf{x}} + E_{z0}^{(i)} \hat{\mathbf{z}})}{\mu_0 \omega} = \frac{k_z^{(i)} E_{x0}^{(i)} - k_x E_{z0}^{(i)}}{\mu_0 \omega} \hat{\mathbf{y}} = \frac{k_x^2 + k_z^{(i)2}}{\mu_0 \omega k_z^{(i)}} E_{x0}^{(i)} \hat{\mathbf{y}} \\ &= \frac{(\omega/c)^2 \varepsilon_a(\omega)}{i \mu_0 (\omega^2/c) \sqrt{(ck_x/\omega)^2 - \varepsilon_a(\omega)}} E_{x0}^{(i)} \hat{\mathbf{y}} = -\frac{i \varepsilon_a(\omega)}{Z_0 \sqrt{(ck_x/\omega)^2 - \varepsilon_a(\omega)}} E_{x0}^{(i)} \hat{\mathbf{y}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Similar calculations for the transmitted plane-wave yield

$$k_z^{(t)} = -i(\omega/c) \sqrt{(ck_x/\omega)^2 - \varepsilon_b(\omega)}. \quad (5)$$

$$\mathbf{H}_0^{(t)} = \frac{i \varepsilon_b(\omega)}{Z_0 \sqrt{(ck_x/\omega)^2 - \varepsilon_b(\omega)}} E_{x0}^{(t)} \hat{\mathbf{y}}. \quad (6)$$

In the absence of a reflected wave, continuity of the tangential  $E$ - and  $H$ -fields at the boundary requires that  $E_{x0}^{(t)} = E_{x0}^{(i)}$  and  $H_{y0}^{(t)} = H_{y0}^{(i)}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{i \varepsilon_a(\omega)}{Z_0 \sqrt{(ck_x/\omega)^2 - \varepsilon_a(\omega)}} &= \frac{i \varepsilon_b(\omega)}{Z_0 \sqrt{(ck_x/\omega)^2 - \varepsilon_b(\omega)}} \rightarrow \frac{\varepsilon_a^2(\omega)}{(ck_x/\omega)^2 - \varepsilon_a(\omega)} = \frac{\varepsilon_b^2(\omega)}{(ck_x/\omega)^2 - \varepsilon_b(\omega)} \\ \rightarrow k_x &= \left(\frac{\omega}{c}\right) \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_a(\omega)}{1 + [\varepsilon_a(\omega)/\varepsilon_b(\omega)]}}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Note that the condition  $-1 < \varepsilon_a(\omega)/\varepsilon_b(\omega) < 0$  ensures that  $k_x > (\omega/c) \sqrt{\varepsilon_a(\omega)}$ , which is necessary for the incident wave to be evanescent.

b) The time-averaged Poynting vector for the  $p$ -polarized plane-waves under consideration is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Re} \{ \mathbf{E}_0 \exp[i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t)] \times \mathbf{H}_0^* \exp[-i(\mathbf{k}^* \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t)] \} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \exp(-2\mathbf{k}'' \cdot \mathbf{r}) \text{Re} \left[ (E_{x0} \hat{\mathbf{x}} + E_{z0} \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \times H_{y0}^* \hat{\mathbf{y}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \exp(-2\mathbf{k}'' \cdot \mathbf{r}) \text{Re} (E_{x0} H_{y0}^* \hat{\mathbf{z}} - E_{z0} H_{y0}^* \hat{\mathbf{x}}) \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{Since } E_{x0} H_{y0}^* \text{ is imaginary,} \\ \text{its real part vanishes.} \end{array} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \exp(-2\mathbf{k}'' \cdot \mathbf{r}) \text{Re} \left[ (k_x/k_z) E_{x0} H_{y0}^* \right] \hat{\mathbf{x}}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

For the incident wave, we have

$$\langle S_x^{(i)} \rangle = \frac{(ck_x/\omega)\varepsilon_a(\omega) \exp[-2(\omega/c)\sqrt{(ck_x/\omega)^2 - \varepsilon_a(\omega)} z]}{2Z_0[(ck_x/\omega)^2 - \varepsilon_a(\omega)]} |E_{x0}^{(i)}|^2. \quad (9)$$

Similarly, for the transmitted wave,

$$\langle S_x^{(t)} \rangle = \frac{(ck_x/\omega)\varepsilon_b(\omega) \exp[2(\omega/c)\sqrt{(ck_x/\omega)^2 - \varepsilon_b(\omega)} z]}{2Z_0[(ck_x/\omega)^2 - \varepsilon_b(\omega)]} |E_{x0}^{(t)}|^2. \quad (10)$$

Note that the energy flow direction in the dielectric is opposite to that in the metallic medium.

c) In the case of and s-polarized incident wave, we will have

$$\mathbf{H}_0^{(i)} = \frac{(k_x \hat{\mathbf{x}} + k_z^{(i)} \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \times E_{y0}^{(i)} \hat{\mathbf{y}}}{\mu_0 \omega} = (E_{y0}^{(i)} / Z_0) [(ck_x/\omega) \hat{\mathbf{z}} - i\sqrt{(ck_x/\omega)^2 - \varepsilon_a(\omega)} \hat{\mathbf{x}}]. \quad (11)$$

$$\mathbf{H}_0^{(t)} = \frac{(k_x \hat{\mathbf{x}} + k_z^{(t)} \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \times E_{y0}^{(t)} \hat{\mathbf{y}}}{\mu_0 \omega} = (E_{y0}^{(t)} / Z_0) [(ck_x/\omega) \hat{\mathbf{z}} + i\sqrt{(ck_x/\omega)^2 - \varepsilon_b(\omega)} \hat{\mathbf{x}}]. \quad (12)$$

Clearly, the tangential components of both the  $E$ -field and the  $H$ -field cannot be continuous at the interface, because, as seen in Eqs.(11) and (12),  $H_{x0}^{(i)} \neq H_{x0}^{(t)}$  when  $E_{y0}^{(i)} = E_{y0}^{(t)}$ .

---