## Solutions

Problem 7.50) For a monochromatic plane-wave, the electromagnetic fields are written

$$\boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{r},t) = \boldsymbol{E}_{o} \exp[i(\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{r} - \omega t)], \qquad (1)$$

$$\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{r},t) = \boldsymbol{H}_{o} \exp[\mathrm{i}(\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{r} - \omega t)].$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

In the present problem,  $\mathbf{k} = k_x \hat{\mathbf{x}} + k_z \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ , while  $\mathbf{E}_0 = E_{xo} \hat{\mathbf{x}} + E_{yo} \hat{\mathbf{y}} + E_{zo} \hat{\mathbf{z}}$  and  $\mathbf{H}_0 = H_{xo} \hat{\mathbf{x}} + H_{yo} \hat{\mathbf{y}} + H_{zo} \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ . In general, the  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{H}$  components are complex-valued,  $k_x$  is real, and  $k_z$  could be real or complex. In medium 1, where  $\mu_1$  and  $\varepsilon_1$  are real and positive and the incident wave is assumed to be homogeneous,  $k_{z1} = (\omega/c)\sqrt{\mu_1}\varepsilon_1 - (ck_x/\omega)^2 = (\omega/c)n_1\cos\theta$  is a positive, real number. The only relevant parameter as far as medium 2 is concerned is  $ck_x/\omega = n_1\sin\theta$ , a real number in the range from  $-n_1$  to  $+n_1$ .

In general, the component of the time-averaged Poynting vector along the z-axis may be written as follows:

$$< S_{z}(\mathbf{r},t) > = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}^{*})_{z} = \frac{1}{2} \exp[-2 \operatorname{Im}(k_{z})z] \operatorname{Re}(E_{xo}H_{yo}^{*} - E_{yo}H_{xo}^{*}).$$
(3)

To determine  $E_{xo}$  and  $H_{xo}$  for substitution in the above expression, we use Maxwell's 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> equations, namely,

$$\nabla \times \boldsymbol{H} = \partial \boldsymbol{D} / \partial t \quad \rightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{k} \times \boldsymbol{H}_{o} = -\varepsilon_{o} \varepsilon \, \omega \boldsymbol{E}_{o} \quad \rightarrow \quad (k_{x} \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} + k_{z} \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}) \times (H_{xo} \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} + H_{yo} \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} + H_{zo} \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}) = -\varepsilon_{o} \varepsilon \, \omega \boldsymbol{E}_{o}$$

$$\rightarrow k_{z} H_{yo} \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} + (k_{x} H_{zo} - k_{z} H_{xo}) \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} - k_{x} H_{yo} \hat{\boldsymbol{z}} = \varepsilon_{o} \varepsilon \, \omega (E_{xo} \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} + E_{yo} \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} + E_{zo} \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}) \rightarrow \quad E_{xo} = k_{z} H_{yo} / (\varepsilon_{o} \varepsilon \, \omega). \tag{4}$$

$$\nabla \times \boldsymbol{E} = -\partial \boldsymbol{B} / \partial t \quad \rightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{k} \times \boldsymbol{E}_{o} = \mu_{o} \mu \omega \boldsymbol{H}_{o} \rightarrow \quad (k_{x} \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} + k_{z} \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}) \times (E_{xo} \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} + E_{yo} \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} + E_{zo} \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}) = \mu_{o} \mu \omega \boldsymbol{H}_{o}$$

$$\rightarrow -k_z E_{vo} \hat{\mathbf{x}} + (k_z E_{xo} - k_x E_{zo}) \hat{\mathbf{y}} + k_x E_{vo} \hat{\mathbf{z}} = \mu_o \mu \omega (H_{xo} \hat{\mathbf{x}} + H_{vo} \hat{\mathbf{y}} + H_{zo} \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \rightarrow H_{xo} = -k_z E_{vo} / (\mu_o \mu \omega).$$
(5)

The z-component of the Poynting vector in Eq. (3) may now be written

$$\langle S_{z}(\boldsymbol{r},t) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \exp\left[-2\operatorname{Im}(k_{z})z\right] \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{k_{z}H_{yo}H_{yo}^{*}}{\varepsilon_{o}\varepsilon\omega} + \frac{k_{z}^{*}E_{yo}^{*}E_{yo}}{\mu_{o}\mu^{*}\omega}\right)$$
$$= \frac{\exp\left[-2\operatorname{Im}(k_{z})z\right]}{2(\omega/c)} \left\{ Z_{o}\operatorname{Re}(k_{z}/\varepsilon) |H_{yo}|^{2} + Z_{o}^{-1}\operatorname{Re}(k_{z}/\mu) |E_{yo}|^{2} \right\}. \leftarrow Z_{o} = \sqrt{\mu_{o}/\varepsilon_{o}} \quad (6)$$

It is thus seen that, for *p*-polarized light associated with  $H_{yo}$ , the requirement that  $\langle S_z \rangle$  be positive translates into  $\operatorname{Re}(k_z/\varepsilon) \ge 0$ , whereas for *s*-polarized light associated with  $E_{yo}$ , the same constraint implies that  $\operatorname{Re}(k_z/\mu) \ge 0$ . In other words, for *p*-light, the angle between  $k_z$  and  $\varepsilon$  in the complex-plane must be less than 90°, whereas for *s*-light it is the angle between  $k_z$  and  $\mu$  that must be below 90°.

Now,  $k_{z2} = (\omega/c)\sqrt{\mu_2\varepsilon_2 - (ck_x/\omega)^2}$  may be readily analyzed in the complex plane. Let us first consider the case of a lossy material, i.e., one for which both  $\mu_2$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  are in the upper-half of the complex plane. (Note:  $\mu_2$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  are slightly above the positive real axis for a transparent positive-index material, and slightly above the negative real axis for a transparent negative-index material.) With reference to the figure below, note that if  $k_x$ , which is always a real number, starts

at zero and moves toward infinity, the argument of the square root starts at  $\mu_2 \varepsilon_2$  and moves parallel to the real axis toward  $-\infty$ . This means that one solution for  $k_{z2}$  starts halfway between  $\mu_2$ and  $\varepsilon_2$  and, upon increasing  $k_x$ , moves continually toward the positive imaginary axis. It is easy

to verify this result separately for cases when  $\mu_2$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  are both in the 1<sup>st</sup> quadrant, both in the 2<sup>nd</sup> quadrant, and also when one is in the 1<sup>st</sup> and the other in the 2<sup>nd</sup> quadrant. In all cases, the solution for  $k_{z2}$  that has an acute angle with both  $\mu_2$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  turns out to be the solution in the upper-half of the complex plane. The conclusion is that, for lossy (as well as transparent) media, the acceptable solution for  $k_{z2}$ , i.e., the solution that yields a positive  $\langle S_z \rangle$ , is the one in the upper half-plane. The field



amplitudes in medium 2 thus decay exponentially away from the interface with medium 1. Let us emphasize then, that for lossy as well as transparent media, the only acceptable solution for  $k_{z2}$  is the one that causes the electromagnetic fields to decay as they propagate along the positive *z*axis. If medium 2 happens to be transparent, the exponential decay will be extremely slow below the critical angle of total internal reflection (TIR), and fast above the critical angle.

For a gain medium, both  $\mu_2$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  will be in the lower-half of the complex plane. The same arguments as above apply, but this time the correct solution for  $k_{z2}$  is found to be in the lower half-plane. The Poynting vector component  $\langle S_z \rangle$  remains positive for both *p*- and *s*-polarized light, but the field amplitudes now become growing functions of *z*. This, of course, is understandable because the gain enables the beam amplitude to grow as it propagates away from the interface. Note that this result is valid irrespective of whether  $\mu_2$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  are in the 3<sup>rd</sup> or 4<sup>th</sup> quadrant, and also whether the incidence angle  $\theta$  is above or below the critical TIR angle.

Finally, a medium may have both loss and gain, in the sense that either  $\varepsilon_2$  is in the upper half-plane while  $\mu_2$  is in the lower half-plane, or vice-versa. In this case,  $k_{z2}$  for *p*-light must be chosen to have an acute angle with  $\varepsilon_2$ , while  $k_{z2}$  for *s*-light must make an acute angle with  $\mu_2$ . These two solutions for  $k_{z2}$  could turn out to be the same, in which case both polarization states grow or decay together as the beam propagates along *z*. Alternatively, it is possible for  $k_{z2}$  of *p*light to be the negative of  $k_{z2}$  for *s*-light, in which case one polarization state grows while the other one decays as they propagate away from the interface. The exact behavior, of course, depends on the locations of  $\mu_2$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  in the complex plane, as well as on the values of  $k_x$  and  $\omega$ .