

Problem 36)

$$a) \nabla \times \vec{H} = \vec{J} \Rightarrow \oint \vec{H} \cdot d\vec{\ell} = \int \vec{J} \cdot d\vec{s} \Rightarrow 2\pi r H_{\phi} = \begin{cases} (\rho/R^2) I_0 & \rho \leq R \\ I_0 & \rho > R \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{H}(\rho, \phi, z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\rho I_0}{2\pi R^2} \hat{\phi} & \rho \leq R \\ [I_0/(2\pi\rho)] \hat{\phi} & \rho > R \end{cases}$$

b) Inside the rod, the \vec{E} -field is uniform ($\vec{E} = E_0 \hat{z}$). Therefore, its curl and divergence must be zero. Outside the rod, we have

$$\nabla \times \vec{E} = \left(\frac{\partial E_{\rho}}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial \rho} \right) \hat{\phi} = E_0 \left\{ \frac{-1}{\rho \ln(R_0/R)} + \frac{1/\rho}{\ln(R_0/R)} \right\} \hat{\phi} = 0.$$

Also note that at $\rho = R$ we have $E_z(R, \phi, z) = E_0$ on both sides of the cylinder surface; in other words, E_z is continuous at the surface of the cylindrical rod. The fact that $\nabla \times \vec{E} = 0$ throughout the entire space ($0 \leq z \leq L$, $0 \leq \rho \leq R_0$) indicates that a potential function $\psi(\rho, \phi, z)$ can be specified (with the relevant space) in this static problem, as it should. Finally, $\nabla \cdot \vec{E}$ outside the rod is given by

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{E} = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} (\rho E_{\rho}) + \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial z} = E_0 \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left[\frac{L-z}{\ln(R_0/R)} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[1 - \frac{\ln(\rho/R)}{\ln(R_0/R)} \right] \right\} = 0 \checkmark$$

c) The \vec{E} -field perpendicular to the cylinder surface, E_{ρ} , is discontinuous.

$$\text{Therefore, } \sigma_s(\rho=R, \phi, z) = \epsilon_0 [E_{\rho}(\rho=R^+, \phi, z) - E_{\rho}(\rho=R^-, \phi, z)] = \epsilon_0 E_0 \frac{L-z}{R \ln(R_0/R)}$$

Note that the charge density is strong at $z=0$, decreasing linearly with z , until it drops to zero at $z=L$. To get a feel for the numbers involved,

Suppose $E_0 = 1000 \text{ V/m}$, $L = 1 \text{ km}$, $R = 1 \text{ mm}$, $R_0 = 1 \text{ m}$, $z = 0$. We find (using

$\epsilon_0 = 8.854 \times 10^{-12} \text{ F/m}$) that $\sigma_s(\rho=R, \phi, z=0) = 1.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Coulomb/m}^2$.

Compare this with the charge density at the cylde. surface if only one

atomic layer had been left "naked," i.e., without electrons. A single atom typically is about $1 \text{ \AA} = 10^{-10} \text{ m}$ in diameter; therefore, a square meter of the surface area contains about 10^{20} atoms. Multiply this with the electronic charge of $e = 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C}$, and you get $\sigma_s \approx 16 \text{ C/m}^2$, which is 4 orders of magnitude greater than σ_s in the present problem.

The surface charge distribution on the cylindrical rod is responsible for creating the field $\vec{E} = E_0 \hat{z}$ inside the conductor; the linear decrease of σ_s with z allows for the uniform \vec{E} -field inside the rod to be directed along the z -axis. Note that the voltage drop along the length of the conductor is $E_0 L$, which is equal to the initial voltage at $z=0$,

$$\text{given by } V_0 = \int_{\rho=R}^{R_0} E_p(\rho, \phi, z=0) d\rho = \frac{E_0 L}{\ln(R_0/R)} \int_R^{R_0} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} = E_0 L \quad \checkmark$$

$$d) \quad \vec{S} = \vec{E} \times \vec{H}$$

$$\text{outside the rod } \vec{S} = E_0 \left\{ \frac{L-z}{\rho \ln(R_0/R)} \hat{\rho} + \left[1 - \frac{\ln(\rho/R)}{\ln(R_0/R)} \right] \hat{z} \right\} \times \frac{I_0}{2\pi\rho} \hat{\phi}$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{S}(\rho, \phi, z) = E_0 I_0 \frac{L-z}{2\pi\rho^2 \ln(R_0/R)} \hat{z} - \frac{E_0 I_0}{2\pi\rho} \left[1 - \frac{\ln(\rho/R)}{\ln(R_0/R)} \right] \hat{\rho}$$

The energy enters the system at $z=0$, through the z -component of the

$$\text{Poynting Vector, } S_z(\rho, \phi, z=0) = \frac{E_0 I_0 L}{2\pi\rho^2 \ln(R_0/R)} :$$

$$\int_{\rho=R}^{R_0} \frac{E_0 I_0 L}{2\pi\rho^2 \ln(R_0/R)} 2\pi\rho d\rho = E_0 I_0 L = V_0 I_0 \quad \checkmark$$

No energy enters the system along the radial direction, because at

$\rho = R_0$ the radial component of the \vec{S} -field is zero:

$$S_\rho(\rho=R_0, \phi, z) = -\frac{E_0 I_0}{2\pi\rho} \left[1 - \frac{\ln(R_0/R)}{\ln(R_0/R)} \right] = 0 \quad \checkmark$$

However, at other points along the ρ -direction, S_ρ is non-zero and points toward the rod. The integral of S_ρ around a cylinder of radius ρ and unit length is thus given by:

$$\int_{\phi=0}^{2\pi} \int_{z=z_0}^{z_0+1} S_\rho(\rho, \phi, z) \rho d\phi dz = -E_0 I_0 \left[1 - \frac{\ln(\rho/R)}{\ln(R_0/R)} \right].$$

The energy entering the system along the z -axis is thus seen to turn toward the rod (i.e., in the ρ -direction) at a rate that is independent of z , but increases as ρ moves from R_0 to R . At $\rho = R$, i.e., at the cylinder surface, the energy enters the cylinder at a rate of $E_0 I_0$ per unit length per second. By the time it gets to $z = L$, all the energy has gone into the rod (and converted into heat); that is why $S_z(\rho, \phi, z=L) = 0$, i.e., no energy leaves the system at $z = L$ along the z -axis.

Inside the rod $\vec{S} = E_0 \hat{z} \times \frac{\rho I_0}{2\pi R^2} \hat{\phi} = -\frac{E_0 I_0 \rho}{2\pi R^2} \hat{\rho}$

$$\int_{\phi=0}^{2\pi} \int_{z=z_0}^{z_0+1} S_\rho(\rho, \phi, z) \rho d\phi dz = -E_0 I_0 (\rho/R)^2$$

The energy thus enters the rod ^{radially} at the surface, at a rate of $E_0 I_0$ per unit length per second. It is deposited (in the form of heat) as it moves toward the center, until it reaches zero at $\rho = 0$. Note that no energy enters from the bottom of the rod at $z = 0$; all energy flows in radially from the air outside the rod.