

On the Notation of MAXWELL'S Field Equations

André Waser*

Issued: 28.06.2000

Last revision: -

Maxwell's equations are the cornerstone in electrodynamics. Despite the fact that this equations are more than hundred years old, they still are subject to changes in content or notation. To get an impression over the historical development of Maxwell's equations, the equation systems in different notations are summarized.

Introduction

The complete set of the equations of James Clerk MAXWELL^[15] are known in electrodynamics since 1865. These have been defined for 20 field variables. Later Oliver HEAVISIDE^[11] and William GIBBS^[23] have transformed this equations into the today's most used notation with vectors. This has not been happened without ,background noise^[3], then at that time many scientists – one of them has been MAXWELL himself – was convinced, that the correct notation for electrodynamics must be possible with quaternions^[5] and not with vectors. A century later EINSTEIN introduced Special Relativity and since then it was common to summarize MAXWELL's equations with four-vectors.

The search at magnetic monopoles has not been coming to an end, since DIRAC^[4] introduced a symmetric formulation of MAXWELL's equations without using imaginary fields. But in this case the conclusion from the Special Theory of Relativity, that the magnetic field originates from relative motion only, can not be hold anymore.

The non-symmetry in MAXWELL's equations of the today's vector notation may have disturbed many scientists intuitively, what could be the reason, that they published an extended set of equations, which they sometime introduced for different applications. This essay summarizes the main different notation forms of MAXWELL's equations.

* André Waser, Birchli 35, CH-8840 Einsiedeln; andre.waser@aw-verlag.ch

Maxwell's Equations

The Original Equations

With the knowledge of fluid mechanics MAXWELL^[15] has introduced the following eight equations to the electromagnetic fields (the right equations correspond with the original text, the left equations correspond with today's vector notation):

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} p' = p + \frac{df}{dt} \\ q' = q + \frac{dg}{dt} \\ r' = r + \frac{dh}{dt} \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} J_1 = j_1 + \frac{\partial D_1}{\partial t} \\ J_2 = j_2 + \frac{\partial D_2}{\partial t} \\ J_3 = j_3 + \frac{\partial D_3}{\partial t} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \mathbf{J} = \mathbf{j} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} \quad (1.1)$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mu\alpha = \frac{dH}{dy} - \frac{dG}{dz} \\ \mu\beta = \frac{dF}{dz} - \frac{dH}{dx} \\ \mu\gamma = \frac{dG}{dx} - \frac{dF}{dy} \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} \mu H_1 = \frac{\partial A_3}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial z} \\ \mu H_2 = \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial A_3}{\partial x} \\ \mu H_3 = \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial y} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \mu \mathbf{H} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \quad (1.2)$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \frac{d\gamma}{dy} - \frac{d\beta}{dz} = 4\pi p' \\ \frac{d\alpha}{dz} - \frac{d\gamma}{dx} = 4\pi q' \\ \frac{d\beta}{dx} - \frac{d\alpha}{dy} = 4\pi r' \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial H_3}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial z} = 4\pi J_1 \\ \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial H_3}{\partial x} = 4\pi J_2 \\ \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial y} = 4\pi J_3 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J} \quad (1.3)$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} P = \mu \left(\gamma \frac{dy}{dt} - \beta \frac{dz}{dt} \right) - \frac{dF}{dt} - \frac{d\Psi}{dx} \\ Q = \mu \left(\alpha \frac{dz}{dt} - \gamma \frac{dx}{dt} \right) - \frac{dG}{dt} - \frac{d\Psi}{dy} \\ R = \mu \left(\beta \frac{dx}{dt} - \alpha \frac{dy}{dt} \right) - \frac{dH}{dt} - \frac{d\Psi}{dz} \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} E_1 = \mu (H_3 v_2 - H_2 v_3) - \frac{dA_1}{dt} - \frac{d\varphi}{dx} \\ E_2 = \mu (H_1 v_3 - H_3 v_1) - \frac{dA_2}{dt} - \frac{d\varphi}{dy} \\ E_3 = \mu (H_2 v_1 - H_1 v_2) - \frac{dA_3}{dt} - \frac{d\varphi}{dz} \end{array} \right\} \quad (1.4)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{E} = \mu (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{H}) - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} - \nabla \varphi$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} P = k f \\ Q = k g \\ R = k h \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} \epsilon E_1 = D_1 \\ \epsilon E_2 = D_2 \\ \epsilon E_3 = D_3 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \epsilon \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{D} \quad (1.5)$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} P = -\zeta p \\ Q = -\zeta q \\ R = -\zeta r \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} \sigma E_1 = j_1 \\ \sigma E_2 = j_2 \\ \sigma E_3 = j_3 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \sigma \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{j} \quad (1.6)$$

$$e + \frac{df}{dx} + \frac{dg}{dy} + \frac{dh}{dz} = 0 \rightarrow \rho + \frac{\partial D_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial D_2}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial D_3}{\partial z} = 0 \Rightarrow -\rho = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} \quad (1.7)$$

$$\frac{de}{dt} + \frac{dp}{dx} + \frac{dq}{dy} + \frac{dr}{dz} = 0 \rightarrow \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial j_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial j_2}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial j_3}{\partial z} = 0 \Rightarrow -\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} \quad (1.8)$$

This original equations do not strictly correspond to today's vector equations. The original equations, for example, contains the vector potential \mathbf{A} , which today usually is eliminated.

Three Maxwell equations can be found quickly in the original set, together with OHM's law (1.6), the FARADAY-force (1.4) and the continuity equation (1.8) for a region containing charges.

The Original Quaternion Form of Maxwell's Equations

In his Treatise^[6] of 1873 MAXWELL has already modified his original equations of 1865. In addition Maxwell tried to introduce the quaternion notation by writing down his results also in a quaternion form. However, he has never really calculated with quaternions but only uses either the scalar or the vector part of a quaternion in his equations.

A general quaternion has a scalar (real) and a vector (imaginary) part. In the example below ,a' is the scalar part and 'ib + jc + kd' is the vector part.

$$\mathbb{Q} = a + ib + jc + kd$$

Here a, b, c and d are real numbers and i, j, k are the so-called HAMILTON'ian^[7] unit vectors with the magnitude of $\sqrt{-1}$. They fulfill the equations

$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ij = k & \quad jk = i & \quad ki = j \\ ij = -ji & \quad jk = -kj & \quad ki = -ik \end{aligned}$$

A nice presentation about the rotation capabilities of the HAMILTON'ian unit vectors in a three-dimensional ARGAND diagram was published by GOUGH^[6].

Now MAXWELL has defined the field vectors (for example $\mathbf{B} = B_1i + B_2j + B_3k$) as quaternions without scalar part and scalars as quaternions without vector part. In addition he defined a quaternion operator without scalar part

$$\nabla = \frac{d}{dx_1}i + \frac{d}{dx_2}j + \frac{d}{dx_3}k \quad ,$$

which he used in his equations. Maxwell divided a single quaternion with two prefixes into a scalar and vector. This prefixes he defined according to

$$S.\mathbb{Q} = S.(a + ib + jc + kd) = a$$

$$V.\mathbb{Q} = V.(a + ib + jc + kd) = ib + jc + kd$$

The original Maxwell quaternion equations are now for isotrope media (no changes except fonts, normal letter = scalar, capital letter = quaternion without scalar):

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \cdot \nabla \mathbf{A} \quad (1.9)$$

$$\mathbf{E} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \mathbf{B} - \dot{\mathbf{A}} - \nabla \Psi \quad (1.10)$$

$$\mathbf{F} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \mathbf{B} + e \mathbf{E} - m \nabla \Omega \quad (1.11)$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{H} + 4\pi \mathbf{M} \quad (1.12)$$

$$4\pi \mathbf{J}_{\text{tot}} = \nabla \cdot \nabla \mathbf{H} \quad (1.13)$$

$$\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{C} \mathbf{E} \quad (1.14)$$

$$\mathbf{D} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{E} \quad (1.15)$$

$$\mathbf{J}_{\text{tot}} = \mathbf{J} + \dot{\mathbf{D}} \quad (1.16)$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \mu \mathbf{H} \quad (1.17)$$

$$\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{S} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{D} \quad (1.18)$$

$$\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{S} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{M} \quad (1.19)$$

$$\mathbf{H} = -\nabla \Omega \quad (1.20)$$

Beneath the new notation, the magnetic potential field Ω and the magnetic mass m was mentioned here the first time. By calculating the gradient of this magnetic potential field it is possible to get the magnetic field (or in analogy the magnetostatic field. Maxwell has introduced this two new field variables into the force equation (1.11).

The reader may check that the equations above identical to the previous published equations (1.1) bis (1.7), except the continuity equation (1.8) has this time be dropped. From the above notation it is clearly visible why the quaternion despite the deep engagement for example of Professor Peter Guthrie TAIT^[19] did not succeed, then the new introduced vector notation of Oliver HEAVISIDE^[11] and Josiah Willard GIBBS^[23] was much easier to read and to use for most applications.

It is very interesting that Maxwell's first formulation of a magnetic charge density and the related discussion about the possible existence of magnetic monopoles became forgotten for more than half a century until in 1931 Paul André Maurice DIRAC^[4] again speculated about magnetic monopoles.

Today's Vector Notation of MAXWELL'S Equations

The nowadays most often used notation can be easily derived from the original equations of 1865. By inserting (1.1) in (1.3) it follows the known equation

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{j} \quad (1.21)$$

Equation (1.4) contains the FARADAY equation

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{v} \times \mu \mathbf{H} \xrightarrow{\mu=\text{konstant}} \mathbf{E} = \mu (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{H}) \quad (1.22)$$

and the potential equation for the electric field

$$\mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} - \nabla \varphi . \quad (1.23)$$

Together with the potential equation for the magnetic field (1.2) follows with applying the rotation on both sides of (1.23)

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\mu \mathbf{H}) \xrightarrow{\mu=\text{konstant}} \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \mu \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} \quad (1.24)$$

From (1.2) follows further with the divergence:

$$\nabla \cdot \mu \mathbf{H} = 0 \xrightarrow{\mu=\text{konstant}} \mu \nabla \cdot \mathbf{H} = 0 \quad (1.25)$$

The six MAXWELL equations in today's notation are:

$$\text{FARADAY'S law} \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{j} \quad (1.26)$$

$$\text{AMPÈRE'S law} \quad -\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \quad (1.27)$$

$$\text{COULOMB'S law} \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = -\rho \quad (1.28)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \quad (1.29)$$

$$\mathbf{D} = \epsilon_0 \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P} = \epsilon_0 \epsilon_r \mathbf{E} = \epsilon \mathbf{E} \quad (1.30)$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{M} = \mu_0 \mu_r \mathbf{H} = \mu \mathbf{H} \quad (1.31)$$

with

E:	electrical field strength	[V / m]
H:	magnetic field strength	[A / m]
D:	electric displacement	[As / m ²]
B:	magnetic Induction	[Vs / m ²]
j:	electric current density	[A / m ²]
ε:	electric permeability	[As / Vm]
μ:	magnetic permeability	[Vs / Am]

Please note that the MAXWELL equation of today have become subset of the original equations which in turn have got an expansion with the introduction of the magnetic induction (1.31).

Today traditionally not included in MAXWELL's equations are FARADAY's law and sometime also OHM's law. Seldom the continuity equation (1.8) is even mentioned. But this equation defines the conservation of charge:

$$\nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{H}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} = -\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = 0 \quad (1.32)$$

The electric and magnetic field strengths are interpreted as a physically existent force fields, which are able to describe forces between electric and magnetic poles. Maxwell has – analogue to fluid mechanics – this force fields associated with two underlying potential fields, which are not shown anymore in the today's traditional vector notation. The force fields can be derived from the potential fields as:

$$-\mathbf{E} = \nabla \varphi + \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \quad (1.33)$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \quad (1.34)$$

with

φ : electric potential field [V]
 \mathbf{A} : vector potential [Vs / m]

For a very long time scientists are convinced that the potentials do not have any physical existence but merely are a mathematical construct. But an experiment suggested by Yakir AHARONOV and David BOHM^[1] has shown, that this is not true. There arises the question about the causality of the fields. Many reasons point out that the potentials φ and \mathbf{A} really are the cause of the force fields \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{H} .

Including the material equations (1.30) and (1.31) and with consideration of Ohm's law

$$\mathbf{j} = \sigma \mathbf{E} \quad (1.35)$$

with

σ : specific electric conductivity [1 / Ωm] = [A / Vm]

the Maxwell equations become for homogenous and isotrope conditions ($\epsilon = \text{constant}$, $\mu = \text{constant}$):

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \epsilon \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \sigma \mathbf{E} \quad (1.36)$$

$$-\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \mu \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} \quad (1.37)$$

$$\epsilon \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \rho \quad (1.38)$$

$$\mu \nabla \cdot \mathbf{H} = 0 \quad (1.39)$$

Real Expansions of Maxwell's Equations

The HERTZ-Ansatz

Recently Thomas PHIPPS^[20] has shown that Heinrich Rudolf HERTZ has suggested another possibility to adapt Maxwell's equations. During Hertz life this was hardly criticized and his proposal was vastly forgotten after his death. Usually the differentials are partial derivative and not total derivatives as shown in the comparison (1.1) to (1.8) between MAXWELL's original equations and the today's vector notation. Now in the equations (1.26) and (1.27) HERTZ has substituted the partial derivatives ∂ with the total derivatives d . With this the Maxwell equations become invariant to the GALILEI-transformation:

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \frac{d\mathbf{D}}{dt} + \mathbf{j} \quad (1.40)$$

$$-\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \frac{d\mathbf{B}}{dt} \quad (1.41)$$

what wit the entity $\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla$ becomes

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{j} \quad (1.42)$$

$$-\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B} \quad (1.43)$$

Now the question arises about the meaning of the newly introduced velocity \mathbf{v} . HERTZ has interpreted this velocity as the (absolute) motion of aether elements. But if \mathbf{v} is interpreted as relative velocity between charges, then Maxwell's equations are defined for the case $\mathbf{v} = 0$, hat can be interpreted that the test charge does not move in the observer's reference frame. Therefore Thomas PHIPPS explains this velocity as the velocity of a test charge relative to an observer.

Consequently in equation (1.33) the partial derivatives has to be replaced wit the total derivatives, too.

$$-\mathbf{E} = \nabla \varphi + \frac{d\mathbf{A}}{dt} = \nabla \varphi + \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{A} \quad (1.44)$$

The invariance of (1.40) and (1.41) against a GALILEI-transformation for the case that no current densities \mathbf{j} and no charges are present can easily be seen. For $\mathbf{v} = 0$ (a relative to the observer stationary charge) always MAXWELL's equations will be the result:

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} \quad (1.45)$$

$$-\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \quad (1.46)$$

For a GALILEI-transformation is $\mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t$ and $t' = t$; thus for $\mathbf{v} > 0$ is:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x'} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y'} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z'} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \quad \rightarrow \quad \nabla' = \nabla \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla$$

from which for all \mathbf{v} the equations

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \frac{d\mathbf{D}}{dt} \quad (1.47)$$

$$-\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \frac{d\mathbf{B}}{dt}$$

are valid. If the observer moves together with a test charge, this reduces again to the equations (1.45) and (1.46). The first EINSTEIN postulate^[5], that in an uniform moving system all physical laws take its simplest form independent of the velocity, is in the example above fulfilled. In each uniform moving reference frame the observer always measures for example the undamped wave equation.

The DIRAC-Ansatz

The non-symmetry in MAXWELL's equation system always has motivated to extend this set of equations. The most famous extension has originated from DIRAC^[3], who suggested the following extension:

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \varepsilon \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{j}_e \quad (1.48)$$

$$-\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \mu \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{j}_m \quad (1.49)$$

$$\varepsilon \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \rho_e \quad (1.50)$$

$$\mu \nabla \cdot \mathbf{H} = \rho_m \quad (1.51)$$

Together with (1.51) this ansatz must lead to the postulation of magnetic monopoles, which until today never has been (absolutely certain) detected. As a consequence of this ansatz the force fields \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} are derived from potentials according to:

$$\mathbf{E} = \nabla \phi - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} - \nabla \times \mathbf{C} \quad (1.52)$$

$$\mathbf{E} = \nabla \phi - \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}}{\partial t} - \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \quad (1.53)$$

where ϕ and \mathbf{C} represent the complementary magnetic potentials. Therefore as another consequence there must exist two different kinds of photons, which interact in different ways with matter^[14]. Also this has until today never been observed.

The HARMUTH-Ansatz

Henning HARMUTH^[5] and Konstantin MEYL^[17] have gone a step further and suggested new equations, which differ to the DIRAC ansatz only in that point, that no source fields exists anymore. Harmuth has used this proposition to solve the problem of propagation of electromagnetic impulses in lossy media (impulses in media with low OHM dissipation) for the boundary conditions $\mathbf{E} = 0$ and $\mathbf{H} = 0$ for $t \leq 0$:

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \varepsilon \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \sigma \mathbf{E} \quad (1.54)$$

$$-\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \mu \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} + s \mathbf{H} \quad (1.55)$$

$$\varepsilon \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0 \quad (1.56)$$

$$\mu \nabla \cdot \mathbf{H} = 0 \quad (1.57)$$

with

s: specific magnetic conductivity [V / Am]

In the interpretation of this ansatz MEYL has gone again a step further and declares the equations (1.54) to (1.57) to be valid in all cases, what says, that there exist no kind of monopoles, whether electric nor magnetic. The alleged electric monopoles (charges) are then only secondary effects of electric and magnetic fields.

From (1.54) to (1.57) HARMUTH^{[5]-Gl.21} has derived the electric field equation to

$$\Delta \mathbf{E} - \mu \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2} - (\mu \sigma + \varepsilon s) \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} - s \sigma \mathbf{E} = 0 \quad (1.58)$$

and has shown, that this equation can be solved for a certain set of boundary conditions. The same equation (1.58) is designated by Meyl as the fundamental field equation.

The MÚNERA-GUZMÁN-Ansatz

Héctor MÚNERA and Octavio GUZMÁN^[19] have proposed the following equations ($\omega \equiv ct$):

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{N} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}}{\partial \omega} + \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{J} \quad (1.59)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{P} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{N}}{\partial \omega} + \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{J} \quad (1.60)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{N} = 4\pi \rho \quad (1.61)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P} = -4\pi \rho \quad (1.62)$$

with

$$\mathbf{N} \equiv \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{E} \quad (1.63)$$

$$\mathbf{P} \equiv \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{E} \quad (1.64)$$

From this follows MAXWELL's equations (1.26)-(1.29) as shown below:

$$\text{FARADAY's law (1.26):} \quad (1.60) - (1.59) \quad (1.65)$$

$$\text{AMPÈRE's law (1.27):} \quad (1.60) + (1.59) \quad (1.66)$$

$$\text{COULOMB's law (1.28):} \quad (1.62) - (1.61) \quad (1.67)$$

$$(1.62) + (1.61) \quad (1.68)$$

In this notation the current density \mathbf{J} and the charge density ρ are not understood as electric only but merely as electromagnetic entities. With an analysis of MÚNERA and GUZMÁN it can be shown, that beneath the electric scalar field also a non-trivial magnetic scalar field should exist.

Imaginary Expansions of Maxwell's Equations

The Notation in Minkowski-Space

In electrodynamics the relativistic notation is fully established. Because of the second EINSTEIN'ian postulate^[5] about the absolute constancy of the speed of light (therefore its independency of the speed of the light source or light detector) the four-dimensional notation has been developed. But the force field vectors \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{H} can not be used for four-vectors. But the potentials and the charge densities have been regarded as very optimal to formulate the electrostatics in a compact form. If we first have an event vector

$$\mathbf{X} = ict + \mathbf{x}$$

then it follows in MINKOWSKI-Space the invariance of the four-dimensional length ds

$$ds = \sqrt{dx_\mu dx_\mu} = \sqrt{dx_1 dx_1 + dx_2 dx_2 + dx_3 dx_3 - c^2 dt^2}$$

and

$$d\tau = \frac{i}{c} \sqrt{dx_\mu dx_\mu} = \sqrt{dt^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} (dx_1 dx_1 + dx_2 dx_2 + dx_3 dx_3)}$$

From this follows the four-dimensional velocity vector to

$$\mathbf{U} = \frac{d\mathbf{X}}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{u^2}{c^2}}} (ic + \mathbf{u})$$

which gives the four-dimensional current density

$$\mathbf{J} = \rho_0 \mathbf{U} = \frac{\rho_0}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{u^2}{c^2}}} (ic + \mathbf{u})$$

With the four-dimensional gradient operator

$${}^4\nabla = \frac{\partial}{ic\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \mathbf{i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \mathbf{j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \mathbf{k}$$

follows with

$${}^4\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0$$

the continuity equation (1.8). With the four-dimensional vector potential

$$\mathbf{A} = i\phi + \mathbf{A}$$

and with the D'ALEMBERT operator

$$({}^4\nabla)^2 = \square^2 = \nabla^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\nu \partial x_\nu} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}$$

follows the relation

$$\square^2 \mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{J}$$

But then the possibility for a compact and easy calculation within the MINKOWSKI-Space comes to an end. To include the electric and magnetic fields, the following definition

$$F_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{\partial A_\nu}{\partial x_\mu} - \frac{\partial A_\mu}{\partial x_\nu}$$

is used to determine the electromagnetic field tensor:

$$\mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B_3 & -B_2 & -iE_1 \\ -B_3 & 0 & B_1 & -iE_2 \\ B_2 & -B_1 & 0 & -iE_3 \\ iE_1 & iE_2 & iE_3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

With two equations with the components of the field tensor the four MAXWELL equations can be derived. With the first equation

$$\frac{\partial F_{\lambda\mu}}{\partial x_\nu} + \frac{\partial F_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x_\lambda} + \frac{\partial F_{\nu\lambda}}{\partial x_\mu} = 0$$

follows for an arbitrary combination of λ, μ, ν to 1, 2, 3 the MAXWELL equation (1.29)

$$\frac{\partial F_{12}}{\partial x_3} + \frac{\partial F_{23}}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial F_{31}}{\partial x_2} = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial B_3}{\partial x_3} + \frac{\partial B_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial B_2}{\partial x_2} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$$

and if one of the indices λ, μ, ν is equal 4 it follows the MAXWELL equation (1.27). With the second equation

$$\frac{\partial F_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x_\nu} = \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{J}_\mu$$

follow the non-homogenous MAXWELL equations (1.26) and (1.28).

Simple Complex Notation

One possibility to enhance the symmetry of MAXWELL's equations offers the inclusion of imaginary numbers. INOMATA^[13] uses the imaginary axis only for the „missing“ terms in MAXWELL's equations. Thus they become:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D} = \epsilon \mathbf{E} & & \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \rho & & \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{j} \\ \mathbf{B} = \mu \mathbf{H} & & \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = i\rho_m & & -\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} + i\mathbf{j}_m \end{aligned} \quad (1.69)$$

From this result an imaginary magnetic charge and an imaginary magnetic current density. In this notation the imaginary unit i is used for variables, which are not physically existent (i.e. are not measurable until now). Thus by using „ i “ in the equations above the missing variables are placed into an imaginary (non existent) space $i(x_1, x_2, x_3)$.

Eight-dimensional, Complex Notation

Elizabeth RAUSCHER^[19] proposes a consequent expansion of the complex notation, so that for each field and for each charge density a real and an imaginary part is introduced.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{E} &= \mathbf{E}_{Re} + i \mathbf{E}_{Im} \\
 \mathbf{B} &= \mathbf{B}_{Re} + i \mathbf{B}_{Im} \\
 \mathbf{j} &= \mathbf{j}_{Re} + \mathbf{j}_{Im} = \mathbf{j}_e + \mathbf{j}_m \\
 \rho &= \rho_{Re} + \rho_{Im} = \rho_e + \rho_m
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1.70}$$

Then, when using a correct splitting of the terms, two complementary sets of MAXWELL equations can be formulated. The real equations are:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{D}_{Re} &= \varepsilon \mathbf{E}_{Re} & \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}_{Re} &= \rho_{Re} & \nabla \times \mathbf{H}_{Re} &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}_{Re}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{j}_{Re} \\
 \mathbf{B}_{Re} &= \mu \mathbf{H}_{Re} & \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}_{Re} &= 0 & -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_{Re} &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_{Re}}{\partial t}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1.71}$$

With an elimination of i on both sides we get for the imaginary parts:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{D}_{Im} &= \varepsilon \mathbf{E}_{Im} & \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}_{Im} &= 0 & \nabla \times \mathbf{H}_{Im} &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}_{Im}}{\partial t} \\
 \mathbf{B}_{Im} &= \mu \mathbf{H}_{Im} & \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}_{Im} &= \rho_{Im} & -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_{Im} &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_{Im}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{j}_{Im}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1.72}$$

As used by INOMATA also RAUSCHER uses the imaginary unit „ i “ to sort the physical existent variables from the physical non existent ones.

The Imaginary Quaternion Notation

An other possibility is the mixture of quaternions and imaginary numbers, what has for example be done by HONIG^[12]. With the vector potential and the current density

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{A}_4 &= i \varphi + A_x i + A_y j + A_z k \\
 \mathbf{J}_4 &= i \rho + \rho v_x i + \rho v_y j + \rho v_z k
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1.73}$$

follows with the operator

$$\square_q = i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} i + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} j + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} k
 \tag{1.74}$$

and with the LORENTZ condition $\square_q \cdot \mathbf{A}_4 = 0$ the MAXWELL equations with

$$\square_q^2 \mathbf{A}_4 = \nabla \cdot i \mathbf{E} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} + \nabla \times i \mathbf{E} + \nabla \times \mathbf{B} + \left(-\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + i \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \right) = i \rho + \mathbf{J}_3 = \mathbf{J}_4
 \tag{1.75}$$

Actually this notation is very efficient. It is, for example, easily possible to formulate the LORENTZ force or equations of the quantum electrodynamics with this notation. But now the imaginary unit „ i “ is not used to separate the observable variables from the non existent ones. Interestingly there does not exist one single real number at all. Each real number is associated either with the imaginary unit „ i “ or with the HAMILTON units i, j and k . With some additional rules also this notation can be expanded to eight dimensions. This should be presented in another paper.

Closing Remarks

Different notations to the MAXWELL equations are presented. Depending on the application one or another notation can be very useful, but at the end the presented variety is not satisfactory. This variety can be a hint, that the correct final form has not been found until now.

Many discussions have been presented about the existence of magnetic monopoles. But either the electric field is only a subjective measuring caused by the relative motion between charges —as it is said by the Special Theory of Relativity – or the magnetic force field can be derived from a scalar potential field. In the first case magnetic monopoles can not exist, in the second case they can exist. Despite of extensive experiments no magnetic monopoles have been found until now. So we can conclude, that no magnetic potential fields must be postulated and that the non symmetry in MAXWELL's equations still are correct.

Proposals to enhance the symmetry with imaginary numbers are interesting but covers the danger, that with the simple mathematical tool „i“ a symmetric formulation can be reached vastly, but that the physical models do become nebulous.

References

- [1] AHARONOV Yakir & David BOHM, „Significance of Electromagnetic Potentials in the Quantum Theory“, *Physical Review* **115** /3 (01 August 1959)
- [2] BARRETT Terence W., „Comments on the HARMUTH ansatz: Use of a magnetic current density in the calculation of the propagation velocity of signals by amended Maxwell theory“, *IEEE Trans. Electromagn. Compatibility* **EMC-30** (1988) 419–420
- [3] BORK Alfred M., „Vectors Versus Quaternions – The Letters of Nature“, *American Journal of Physics* **34** (1966) 202-211
- [4] DIRAC Paul André Morice, „Quantised Singularities in the Electromagnetic Field“, *Proceedings of the London Royal Society A* **133** (1931) 60-72
- [5] EINSTEIN Albert, „Zur Elektrodynamik bewegter Körper“, *Annalen der Physik und Chemie* **17** (30. Juni 1905) 891-921
- [6] GOUGH W., „Quaternions and spherical harmonics“, *European Journal of Physics* **5** (1984) 163-171
- [7] HAMILTON William Rowan, „On a new Species of Imaginary Quantities connected with a theory of Quaternions“, *Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy* **2** (13 November 1843) 424-434
- [8] HARMUTH Henning F. „Corrections of Maxwell's equations for signals I“, *IEEE Transactions of Electromagnetic Compatibility* **EMC-28** (1986) 250-258
- [9] HARMUTH Henning F. „Corrections of Maxwell's equations for signals II“, *IEEE Transactions of Electromagnetic Compatibility* **EMC-28** (1986) 259-266
- [10] HARMUTH Henning F. „Reply to T.W. Barrett's 'Comments on the Harmuth ansatz: Use of a magnetic current density in the calculation of the propagation velocity of signals by amended Maxwell theory'“, *IEEE Transactions of Electromagnetic Compatibility* **EMC-30** (1988) 420-421
- [11] HEAVISIDE Oliver, „On the Forces, Stresses and Fluxes of Energy in the Electromagnetic Field“, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society* **183A** (1892) 423
- [12] HONIG William M., „Quaternionic Electromagnetic Wave Equation and a Dual Charge-Filled Space“, *Lettere al Nuovo Cimento, Ser. 2* **19** /4 (28 Maggio 1977) 137-140
- [13] INOMATA Shiuji, „Paradigm of New Science – Principa for the 21st Century“, *Gijutsu Shuppan Pub. Co. Ltd. Tokyo* (1987)

- [14] KÜHNE Rainer W., „A Model of Magnetic Monopoles“, *Modern Physics Letters A* **12** /40 (1997) 3153-3159
- [15] MAXWELL James Clerk, „A Dynamical Theory of the Electromagnetic Field“, *Royal Society Transactions* **155** (1865) 459–512
- [16] MAXWELL James Clerk, „A Treatise on Electricity & Magnetism“, (1873) *Dover Publications, New York* ISBN 0-486-60636-8 (Vol. 1) & 0-486-60637-6 (Vol. 2)
- [17] MEYL Konstantin, „Potentialwirbel“, *Indel Verlag, Villingen-Schwenningen* Band **1** ISBN 3-9802542-1-6 (1990)
- [18] MEYL Konstantin, „Potentialwirbel“, *Indel Verlag, Villingen-Schwenningen* Band **2** ISBN 3-9802542-2-4 (1992)
- [19] MÚNERA Héctor A. and Octavio GUZMÁ, „A Symmetric Formulation of MAXWELL’s Equations“, *Modern Physics Letters A* **12** No.28 (1997) 2089-2101
- [20] PHIPPS Thomas E. Jr, “On Hertz’s Invariant Form of Maxwell’s Equations”, *Physics Essays* **6** /2 (1993) 249-256
- [21] RAUSCHER Elizabeth A., „Electromagnetic Phenomena in Complex Geometries and Nonlinear Phenomena, Non-HERTZian Waves and Magnetic Monopoles“, *Tesla Book Company, Chula Vista CA-91912*
- [22] TAIT Peter Guthrie, “An elementary Treatise on Quaternions”, *Oxford University Press* 1st Edition (1875)
- [23] WILSON E. B., “Vector Analysis of Josiah Willard Gibbs – The History of a Great Mind”, *Charles Scribner’s Sons* New York (1901)