





























| Field of V | ïew | 9-16 | OP1 | |
|--|--|------|-----------------|--|
| The system FOV can be determined by the maximum object size, the detector size, or by the field over which the optical system exhibits good performance. For rectangular image formats, horizontal, vertical and diagonal FOVs must be specified. | | | | |
| The fractional object FOB is used to describe objects of different heights in terms of the HFOV. For example, FOB 0.5 would indicate an object that has size half the maximum. This is used in ray trace code to analyze a system performance at different field sizes. | | | | |
| Common | Common values are | | | |
| FOB 0 | on-axis | | nentat nkarr | |
| FOB 0.7 | half of the object area is closer to the optical axis at this angle, and half is farther away $(.7^2 \equiv .5)$ | | ion I | |
| FOB 1 | maximum field (edge of object) | | | |
| Another method for defining angular FOV is to measure the angular size of the object relative to the front nodal point N . This is useful because the angular sizes of the object and the image are equal when viewed from the respective nodal points. This definition of angular FOV fails for afocal systems which do not have nodal points. In focal systems with a distant object, the choice of using the EP or nodal point for angular object FOV is of listing compared. | | | | |
| 51 IIII 00 | | | A | |



While they are referred to as angles, paraxial ray angles are not angles at all. They measure an angle-like quantity, but these paraxial angles are actually the slope of the ray or the ratio of a height to a distance. As a result, paraxial angles are unitless. If the physical angle in degrees or radians is θ , then the paraxial angle u is given by the tangent of θ .



The use of ray slopes is critical for paraxial raytracing as it results in the linearity of paraxial raytracing. This is easy to see from the transfer equation:

$$y' = y + ut$$

This linear equation for the paraxial ray is the equation of a line, and the constant of proportionality is the ray slope. The need to use the ray slope is also apparent in the above figure. As the physical angle goes from 0 to 90 degrees (or 0 to $\pi/2$ radians), the ray height at the following surface goes from 0 to infinity. Since the ray slope also goes from 0 to infinity, the paraxial raytrace equation correctly gives the correct result without any approximations. The use of a physical angle in radians instead of the paraxial ray angle in the transfer equation is only valid by approximation for small angles or by the use of trig functions.



9-17

OPTI-502 Optical Design and Instrumentation I © Copyright 2019 John E. Greivenkamp

College of Optical Sciences















































| Lateral Magnification and $\underline{\mathcal{W}}$ In an object or an image plane: $y = y' = 0$ $\mathcal{W} = H = n\overline{u}y - nu\overline{y}$ Object: $\mathcal{W} = -nu\overline{y}$ Image: $\mathcal{W} = -nu\overline{y}'$ $-nu\overline{y} = -n'u'\overline{y}'$ $m \equiv \frac{\overline{y}'}{\overline{y}} = \frac{nu}{n'u'} = \frac{\omega}{\omega'}$ | 9-42The lateral image magnification is given by the ratio of the marginal ray angles at the object and image.A marginal raytrace determines not only the object and image locations, but also the conjugate magnification | OPTI-502 Optical Design and Instrumentation I © Copyright 2019 John E. Greivenkamp |
|---|---|---|
| At the stop or in a pupil plane: $\overline{y} = \overline{y'} = 0$ $\mathcal{K} = H = n\overline{u}y - nu\overline{y}$ Pupil 1: $\mathcal{K} = n\overline{u}y_{PUPIL}$ Pupil 2: $\mathcal{K} = n'\overline{u'}y'_{PUPIL}$ $-n\overline{u}y_{PUPIL} = -n'\overline{u'}y'_{PUPIL}$ $m_{PUPIL} \equiv \frac{y'_{PUPIL}}{y_{PUPIL}} = \frac{n\overline{u}}{n'\overline{u'}} = \frac{\overline{\omega}}{\overline{\omega'}}$ | The pupil magnification is given by the ratio of the chief ray angles at the two pupils. Since these two relationships are derived using only the Lagrange invariant, they are valid for both focal and afocal systems. | College of Optical Sciences |













Paraxial Raytrace and Linearity

The linearity of a paraxial raytrace leads to the existence of the Optical or Lagrange Invariant. A paraxial system is completely described by the ray data from two unrelated rays. Given two rays, a third ray can be formed as a linear combination of the two rays. The coefficients are the ratios of the pair-wise invariants of the values for the three rays at some initial z.

9-49

OPTI-502 Optical Design and Instrumentation I College of Optical Sciences Copyright 2019 John E. Greivenkamp

×

$$y_3 = Ay_1 + By_2$$

 $A = I_{32}/I_{12}$
 $u_3 = Au_1 + Bu_2$
 $B = I_{13}/I_{12}$

$$I_{ij} = nu_i y_j - nu_j y_i$$

These coefficients A and B are evaluated at some location where initial ray height and angle data for the third ray are known. The unknown ray height and angle values at other locations can then be found using these coefficients. The expressions are valid at any z, in any optical space.

Changing the Lagrange invariant of a system scales the optical system. Doubling the invariant while maintaining the same object and image sizes and pupil diameters halves all of the axial distances (and the focal length).

| Proof of Linearit | У | | | 9-50 | OPTI- © (|
|--------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|------|---|
| $y_3 = Ay_1$ | + <i>By</i> ₂ | $u_3 = Au_1 + Bu_2$ $u'_3 = Au'_1 + Bu'_2$ | $n'u' = nu - y\phi$ $y' = y + u't'$ | | -502 Optical Copyright 20: |
| Transfer: | $y'_{3} = y_{3} + y'_{3} = Ay_{1}$ $y'_{3} = A(y'_{1} + y'_{3})$ $y'_{3} = Ay'_{1}$ | $+ u'_{3}t' + By_{2} + (Au'_{1} + Bu'_{2})t' + y_{1} + u'_{1}t') + B(y_{2} + u'_{2}t') + By'_{2}$ | | | Design and Instrumentatio 19 John E. Greivenkamp |
| Refraction: Linearity holds | $n'u'_{3} = n$ $n'u'_{3} = n$ $n'u'_{3} = A$ $n'u'_{3} = A$ $u'_{3} = Au$ for both tr | $u_{3} - y_{3}\phi$ $(Au_{1} + Bu_{2}) - (Ay_{1} + By_{2})\phi$ $A(nu_{1} - y_{1}\phi) + B(nu_{2} - y_{2}\phi)$ $An'u'_{1} + Bn'u'_{2}$ ansfer and refraction. | | | n I College of Optical Sciences |
| | | | | | |



| Use of the Lagrange Invariant 9-52 | OPTI: © | | | |
|---|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| Consider an f/2 optical system in air with a focal length of 100 mm. At the conjugates used, the system $NA = 0.1$. If the image height is 10 mm, what is the angular field of view of the system in object space? | | | | |
| There is no need to assume $D_{XP} = D_{EP}$, or that thin lenses are used. | ign a John | | | |
| $f/2 \Rightarrow \frac{f}{D_{EP}} = 2$ $D_{EP} = 50 mm$ $r_{EP} = 25$ | nd Instru ı E. Greiv | | | |
| $NA = 0.1 \Rightarrow u' = -0.1$ Marginal ray angle in image space | menta enkar | | | |
| $\mathcal{K} = n\overline{u}y - nu\overline{y}$ | np | | | |
| Image Plane: $y' = 0$ $\overline{y}' = 10$ $n' = 1$ | | | | |
| $\mathcal{K} = -n'u'\overline{y}' = 1$ | Colle | | | |
| At EP: $y = r_{EP} = 25$ $\overline{y} = 0$ $n = 1$ | ne un | | | |
| $\mathcal{K} = 1 = n\overline{u}y = 25\overline{u}$ | Optic | | | |
| $\overline{u} = .04$ $\theta_{1/2} = \overline{U} = \tan^{-1}\overline{u} = 2.3^{\circ}$ | al Scier | | | |
| This example shows that the Lagrange invariant helps relate known quantities in one optical space to unknown quantities in another optical space. | | | | |